

Current Capabilities and Development Potential in Surgical Robotics

Invited Review Article

Mathias Hoeckelmann^{1*}, Imre J. Rudas², Paolo Fiorini³, Frank Kirchner^{1,4} and Tamas Haidegger^{2,5*}

1 DFKI GmbH, Robotics Innovation Center (RIC), Bremen, Germany

2 Antal Bejczy Center for Intelligent Robotics Obuda University, Hungary

3 Department of Informatics, University of Verona, Italy

4 Robotics Group, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

5 Austrian Center for Medical Innovation and Technology (ACMIT), Austria

* Corresponding author(s) E-mail: mathias.hoeckelmann@dfki.de; haidegger@ieee.org

Received 15 October 2014; Accepted 04 December 2014

DOI: 10.5772/60133

© 2015 The Author(s). Licensee InTech. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Commercial surgical robots have been in clinical use since the mid-1990s, supporting surgeons in various tasks. In the past decades, many systems emerged as research platforms, and a few entered the global market. This paper summarizes the currently available surgical systems and research directions in the broader field of surgical robotics. The widely deployed teleoperated manipulators aim to enhance human cognitive and physical skills and provide smart tools for surgeons, while image-guided robotics focus on surpassing human limitations by introducing automated targeting and treatment delivery methods. Both concepts are discussed based on prototypes and commercial systems. Through concrete examples the possible future development paths of surgical robots are illustrated. While research efforts are taking different approaches to improve the capacity of such systems, the aim of this survey is to assess their maturity from the commercialization point of view.

Keywords Surgical robotics, minimally invasive surgery, telesurgery, teleoperation, cognitive surgical robotics

1. Introduction

The idea of telerobotic healthcare was most probably born in the early 1970s, proposed in a study for the *National Aeronautics and Space Administration* (NASA) to provide surgical care for astronauts with remote controlled robots [1]. The concept in Fig. 1 presents very well the fundamental characteristics of a complete teleoperational system, although the first prototypes were only built more than 15 years later.

The era of surgical robotics—as they are available today—began by the mid-1980s. By that time dozens of medical robotic research projects have been launched, leading to the birth of instruments for remote and local robotic surgery. The idea of robotic *Minimally Invasive Surgery* (MIS) was conceived on the principle of employing technology in extending the surgeon's dexterity and capabilities. With the introduction of the first robotic devices in the 1990s (see subsection 4.1), *Computer-Integrated Surgery* (CIS) and telemedicine have become widely used around the world, with an estimated present total number of 5000 devices [2]. Surgeons and engineers created systems and networks for advanced patient care, and demonstrated their capabilities

over a hundred different types of procedures. Extreme setups were also arranged, such as trans-continental surgery [3, 4], underwater trials [5], and even procedures in weightlessness [4, 6, 7].

Surgical robots form a subgroup of medical robotic devices, distinct from hospital care or rehabilitation robotics. The *Medical Robotic Database (MeRoDa)* [8] lists over 450 international surgical robotic projects; however, many of those did not result in more than a few scientific publications. This paper gives a comprehensive list of the most important devices, including commercial products and advanced prototypes, identified through a thorough literature review and a detailed survey of the internet. It is believed that this is the most complete enumeration currently available.




Figure 1. NASA had elaborated advanced telerobotic concepts for in-space use in the early 1970s, well before the first military or commercial systems were developed (Image credit: NASA [1])

1.1 Surgical robots and robotic systems

Arguably, it is a challenging task to delimit the domain of surgical robotics. While the term ‘robotic surgery’ is widely used by the clinical community, there is a strong disagreement on what systems are to be called a ‘robot’. According to the latest ISO standard (ISO 8373:2012 Robots and robotic devices—Vocabulary), a ‘robot is an actuated mechanism programmable in two or more axes with a degree of autonomy, moving within its environment, to perform intended tasks.’ However, since key determining parts of the taxonomy, such as ‘Degree of Autonomy’, are not defined in the standard, it is up to the community to set the border between teleoperated systems and robotic devices. Since many groups of systems are usually referred to as robots, it will be used in a more generic sense.

Furthermore, based on the current standards, we can conclude that any system with a medical intended use

should be seen as a medical device. In the case of robots, this includes all kinds of systems from psychological rehabilitation to natural orifice surgery [9]. The diversity of functions and appearance make the regulation and standardization of the domain extremely difficult.

This review mostly follows the practical definitions of the community, approaching the taxonomy problem from the application point of view. See section 2 for further details of the surveyed field.

1.2 Fundamental approaches in robotic surgery

There are two distinct concepts along which surgical robots are being developed:

1. Teleoperated systems

Continuously controlled by a human operator on site or remotely connected.

2. Image-guided systems

A surgical plan is executed by the robot, typically guided by a navigation system, or other tracking method.

The most successful surgical robot, the *da Vinci* Surgical System (Intuitive Surgical Inc., Sunnyvale, CA)—following NASA’s and other early concepts—only relies on the surgeon’s direct input through the master controller, and therefore the liability for all actions stays with the human operator. The overall performance of the system is limited by the resolution of human fine-motion, reaction-time and cognitive skills. Many systems in orthopaedics and neurosurgery primarily chose to employ image guidance based on the industrial *Computer-Aided Design and Manufacturing (CAD/CAM)* paradigm, where the surgical plans and executable tasks are defined accurately on a pre-operative image (e.g., *Computer Tomography (CT)*, *Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)*) of the patient [10, 11]. This results in superior precision; however, these systems are less fault-tolerant, are typically not adaptive, and additional safety mechanisms are always required to protect the patient in the case of an adverse event [11].

Naturally, the mixture of the two concepts is also possible, where sensors are providing real-time updates to the robot regarding the environment, or where the robots can autonomously perform certain sub-tasks. Numerous systems are currently in the research-prototype phase (see Table 4). Fig. 2 presents the two most well-known examples of master–slave teleoperated and image-guided robots, and how their design evolved over time¹. In the upper row, the *da Vinci* system (detailed description in subsection 3.1) is shown as an example of a teleoperated system, while the bottom row shows the *ROBODOC* (q.v. subsection 3.3.1) system, as an example of automated *Image-Guided Surgery (IGS)* systems.

¹ The evolution of the system is shown in both rows from the left to the right.

1.3 Current surgical robotic systems

The majority of the currently available systems in the field of surgical robotics and computer-assisted surgery are designed to imitate, copy and improve human capabilities. Systems aim for an enhancement of the skills of the surgeon to conduct medical procedures on the same scale, therefore not changing the existing paradigms but rather supporting them. However, the commercially available technology is still an early generation of robots. Therefore, they have their natural disadvantages, which are addressed by current research efforts.

This paper also deals with the next generation of robots, gradually becoming cognitive systems that have access to a knowledge base and are capable of adaptation. This would allow them to conduct certain parts of procedures automatically. Even in complex cases the systems could analyse the situation and provide a second opinion for the physician.

1.4 Methodology

This overview aims to help professionals on the field by gathering systems on a global scale, and providing an unbiased overview. Furthermore, the summary below and the detailed appendix provide a basic comparison of systems. For ease of understanding, the robot list is divided into four sections:

- **Commercially available robotic systems**

Systems approved for clinical use in at least one country.

- **Discontinued robotic systems**

Systems used to be commercially available in at least one country.

- **Systems under development for commercial exploitation**

The research entity has achieved good progress in the development and announced the aim to acquire clearance from, e.g., the U.S. *Food and Drug Administration* (FDA). This is considered as a key act towards commercialization.

- **Advanced research prototypes**

The project has left the first demonstrating stage and recent research progress has been published.

The survey in each of the categories is organized as a tabular comparison of the devices, and contains key facts announced. Together with the tables, a description of their content is given in section 10.

Medical implications and clinical usage of systems are not evaluated in this paper. The review focuses on the engineering and market aspects of surgical robots.

The survey starts with a discussion about the commercially available systems in section 3. This first overview of the

market examines each robot in detail. If a system forms the foundation of future development projects, it might be presented in a later section.

2. Definition and differentiation of the surveyed field

Robotic surgery is defined by the *Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons* and *Minimally Invasive Robotic Association* (SAGES–MIRA) Robotic Consensus Group as:

‘a surgical procedure or technology that adds a computer-technology-enhanced device to the interaction between surgeon and the patient during a surgical operation, and assumes some degree of freedom of control heretofore completely reserved for the surgeon. This definition encompasses micro-manipulators, remotely controlled endoscopes and console-manipulator devices. The key elements are enhancement of the surgeon’s abilities—by vision, tissue manipulation or tissue sensing—and alteration of the traditional direct local contact between surgeon and patient.’[12]

Therefore, robotic surgery is usually an advancement of *Minimally Invasive Surgery* (MIS). Here, the tools of the surgeon are passed via small incisions (0.5–3 cm), e.g., into the abdominal cavity. This reduces the trauma and operation risk, but also requires a highly trained surgeon [13], since the orientation is quite challenging. Moreover, certain devices require the crossing of the tools, which increases the cognitive load even further (e.g., Covidien’s Roticulator). Most teleoperated surgical robots are reducing this load, such as the *da Vinci* (see subsection 3.1), which fits the classical definition of a robot according to subsection 1.1, but is unable to perform any actions without the instructions of a surgeon. However, the research prototype version of the *da Vinci* allows unconstrained automated motion [14].

This review covers medical devices in a broader sense: systems supporting the physicians in the treatment of patients with a mechanical tool and computer assistance.

To further enhance such systems the use of artificial intelligence is considered, introducing *cognitive surgical robotics* which can be defined as:

‘A system that extends the capabilities of any surgical robot by cognitive functions. Today, these features may be very simple, but already extending human capabilities in certain ways. It is expected that future cognitive surgical robots will have access to ontology-based workflows of the surgical procedure, will be able to manage and control the workflows, and will be able to estimate the mental state of human collaborators, including that of the surgeons and the assistants. Cognitive robots could also provide information to the humans in various forms, including dialogue systems and augmented reality displays, quickly search large backing databases, for example based reasoning, and can recommend solutions based on fast risk



Figure 2. The two most famous surgical robots, Intuitive Surgical's *da Vinci* (top) and Curexo's *ROBODOC* (bottom) shown in their first commercial format (around 2000), current outfit and provisional future design. (Image credit: Intuitive Surgical Inc. (top row), *ROBODOC*, a Curexo Technology Company (bottom row)).

estimations. It is also expected that such capabilities require different models, such as the model of the operating room, the model of the human body and good estimations of surgeons' and assistants' behaviour. (András Lőrincz, 2013)

As stated in subsection 3.9, none of the currently available systems uses computer cognition or artificial intelligence to support the surgeon. The integration of those two software components is one of the major tasks for current and future research (q.v section 6 and 7).

3. Commercially available robotic systems

The initial development of robot-assisted surgery was driven by the aim to improve the efficacy of laparoscopic surgery.

The key features of the commercial systems are listed in Table 1. For completeness each of the commercial systems is introduced within the following subsections. Here, systems are grouped by their main target application².

3.1 Master–slave telemanipulator systems

The *da Vinci* follows strictly the master–slave approach, as introduced in section 1 [10, 15], and is a direct successor of the project of that time [16, 17]. Figure 3 shows an example setup of the *Si* version of the robot family. It offers four arms for tool handling (including the camera) and dual console

control for cooperative surgery or training. Their latest development iteration was the *Xi* version, offering an increased working space of the arms, more flexible tool positioning and an enhanced vision system.

The *da Vinci* is the only (as of March 2015) available system on the market for general MIS. Their domination on the market allowed Intuitive to sell more than 3200 units worldwide [18], despite a price of more than \$1.5M [18].



Figure 3. An example setup of the *da Vinci Si* system. (Image credit: Intuitive Surgical Inc.)

But even with progress in the development of the robot, it still lacks the support of automated procedures and consumes a lot of space around the *operating room* (OR) table [19].

² It is difficult to fit absolute numbers of the precision of many systems. Many reports state only a 'high precision positioning', without exact numbers. There are only a few comparisons between the systems based on the mechanical properties. If the numbers are available for a system, those will be indicated within the respective section.

Only the da Vinci system has seen enough procedures to allow fine statistics regarding adverse events. In the recent years, much attention has been paid to FDA's Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience (MAUDE³), where all users are obliged to enter the details of every abnormal case, and the manufacturer must address these [20]. While the da Vinci proved to be a solid robot platform, naturally, there have been certain issues over the three million procedures performed so far [21]. Software-related problems have been reported in far less than 1% of the cases, and are typically solved by rebooting the system. Mechanical failure has been reported in 0.2–0.5% of the cases [22]. Altogether, including the medical complications, 0.9–4.7% have been measured (based on 2500 procedure), and the conversion ratio was 0.4–0.5% [23].

3.2 Computer-assisted radio-surgery

The general idea of radio-surgery is based on the lethal effect of high radiation doses to living cells. To prevent harmful doses for healthy tissues, the beams are focused within the tumour to be treated. The different approaches to the radiation treatment of tumours are listed in the following sections. All have in common that they require a pre-treatment scan of the targeted areas. This is required to plan the irradiation and dosage precisely.

3.2.1 CyberKnife

The *CyberKnife* (Fig. 4(a)) is composed of a six *degree of freedom* (DOF) industrial KUKA robot carrying a linear accelerator (LINAC) as the radiation source. Depending on the model chosen, the patient's bed has up to six additional DOF. The patient is placed on the actuated bed with markers on his/her bodies, and thus their movements can be tracked by the system. This allows fine adaptation to patient motion, e.g., to compensate breathing motion [24, p. 31].

CyberKnife is one of the few systems that have been thoroughly investigated regarding their inherent and application accuracy. The industrial robot base helps to target the tumour with 0.2 mm precision and the overall treatment precision is better than 0.95 mm [24].

3.2.2 Novalis


In the case of the *Novalis* system, the radiation source is constructed in an L-shape, and rotatable along a horizontal axis. Fig. 4(b) shows an example setup of the system, where the patient is placed on the articulated OR table. During the treatment, the radiation source rotates around the patient [25].



(a) An example setup of the *CyberKnife* system. (Image credit: Saginaw Future, CC-BY-2.0)



(b) Setup of the *Novalis TX* system. (Image credit: Binodkpn, CC-BY-SA 3.0)



(c) Illustration of the *Gamma Knife Perfexion* unit. (Image credit: Elektra AB, CC-BY-SA 3.0)

Figure 4. Commercial systems in use for radio-therapy

3.2.3 Gamma Knife

The *Gamma Knife* system shown in Fig. 4(c) has a different way of delivering the radiation source: it is basically a half sphere, which houses the fixed radiation source and moveable focusing mechanism. The patient's head is fixed by a stereotactic frame, and placed on a moveable bed in the focal centre of the sphere. Through the movement of the bed and the adjustment of the source, tumours can be

³ Public interface is available under: <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfmaude/search.cfm>, last checked on 23.12.2014.

targeted very precisely [24]. The architecture of the system only allows radiation treatment of the upper body, such as head, neck and shoulder.

3.3 Orthopaedic applications

3.3.1 ROBODOC

The first generation of *ROBODOC* was based on a five DOF IBM SCARA robot, which was holding a milling tool at the tip. This setup is shown in Fig. 2 in the bottom left. The robot's task is to prepare/sculpture the joint precisely in order to prepare it for implant insertion [10, 11, 15].

The *ROBODOC*'s development began in 1986 at IBM T. J. Watson Center and U.C. Davis, aiming to create a bone milling robot for canine hip surgery. Integrated Surgical Systems Inc. (ISS)⁴ took over the concept and designed a system for automated hole drilling in *Total Hip Arthroplasty* (THA)⁵ surgeries. The first-ever completely robotic human trial was performed in 1992 [26, 27], and the system was later extended to *Total Knee Arthroplasty* (TKA) procedures as well [28]. For both applications, the surgeon defines the position and dimension of the required hole, based on pre-operative data. Then s/he registers the robot with respect to the patient in various configurations, achieving a correlation between the coordinate systems [29].

Since 1994 ISS sold around 80 systems across Europe and Asia, and in 2008 it became the first FDA-approved automated bone milling robot, under Curexo Technology Corporation [29]. The Korean Hyundai Heavy Inc. invested into *ROBODOC* to develop a new generation of the system [30]. In late 2014 the original was put on the market under the brand name Think Surgical [31].

3.3.2 RIO system

The *RIO system* from MAKO Surgical (bought by Stryker Inc.) is shown in Fig. 5(a) is similar to that of *ROBODOC*. On a moveable cart, a robotic arm with a milling tool is mounted.

The *RIO system* aims for the same applications as the *ROBODOC*, but here the actions are not performed automatically, rather in a computer-guided manner, based on a pre-operative CT scan. The boundaries of the surgery, i.e., the limits (also called Virtual Fixtures) for the sculpturing of the bones are predefined. During the procedure, the surgeon guides the tool, while the system monitors the tip's position. If the tip reaches a limit, the robot generates resistance to prevent breaches of those. This gives the surgeon the control at every position with the benefits of computer-assisted supervision.

⁴ Based in Sacramento, USA.

⁵ Surgical shaping or alteration of the joint.

3.3.3 Renaissance

The *Renaissance* system (Mazor Robotics, Israel) is composed of two different parts: a guide rail and a tool positioning robot. The tool guide is mounted directly onto the patient's spine to prevent any relative movement between the robot and patient. For each implant, the positioning robot is placed on the guide rail and automatically moves into the desired position.



(a) The robotic arm of the *RIO system*. (Image credit: Photo courtesy by Stryker Inc.)



(b) The Mazor *Renaissance* system with console. (Image credit: Mazor Robotics Inc.)

Figure 5. Surgery-support systems in orthopaedic applications

The *Renaissance* was developed for spinal surgery. Here its task is pre-operative planning, based on CT images and tool guidance during the surgery. The surgeon can use the software-generated 3D image of the patient's spine, and select the proper implants for each position. During the

surgery, the guide rail is attached to the patient and registered via special markers and X-ray images. The markers are then matched with the pre-operative plan, and with the robot in place the system now can guide the tools onto the right trajectory. Mazor Robotics published a minimum positioning precision of 1.5 mm [32]. The system can also be used for neurosurgical procedures.

3.4 Organ inspection

Due to the length of the intestinal tract, it is not possible to inspect it entirely with traditional endoscopes, and flexible endoscopes also have major limitations. Preferably, swallowable capsules with cameras are used [33]. An example set of those systems is shown in Fig. 6. Each capsule is battery-powered and transmits the collected images wireless to a receiver carried by the patient. The battery lasts for up to 12 hours while the device takes between two and 35 images per second [34, 189-193]. The advancement of the capsule is provided by the natural motility of the bowels. To support the diagnosis, the patient is not allowed to eat or drink before the treatment. This empties the gastrointestinal tract, and allows a clear view of the structures [33]. According to subsection 1.1, these capsules are not robots, but mobile sensor systems. Yet they create the foundation for more complex miniaturised systems, as to be discussed in subsection 7.4.



Figure 6. Camera capsules used for the internal examination of the oesophagus, the small intestine and the large intestine—from left to right. (Image credit: Dr. H.H. Krause, CC-BY-SA 3.0.)

Another way to improve the classical inspection of the abdomen is via flexible, robotised endoscopes. These are highly redundant in their kinematics, and can be controlled through a complex Human–Machine Interface (HMI) [35]. An example system for this approach is the *Endotics* endoscope. Its single use head can be steered and equipped with a camera, water jets and insufflation outlets [36]. It propels itself forward with inch worm-like movement, and is retracted by pulling the umbilical end [36]. A similar approach is taken by the *Invendoscope*, that itself consists of two sheaths and is driven by a motor unit outside the patient. One main difference to the *Endotics* systems is that here, an additional tool can be passed through a channel, e.g., to treat a tumour in-situ [35].



(a) The *CorPath 200* system setup. (Image credit: Corindus Vascular Robotics.)



(b) The *Sensei X* catheter system. (Image credit: Hansen Medical Inc.)



(c) *Amigo* set up in an operating room. (Image credit: Catheter Robotics Inc.)

Figure 7. Robotic assisted systems for catheter interventions

3.5 Catheter operations

MIS catheter operations on the heart are usually conducted by accessing the femoral or brachial artery or vein, and pushing the catheter all the way up to the heart. This is usually achieved with X-ray fluoroscopy to assist the surgeon in the navigation. Due to the passive structure of the catheter, it may be difficult to reach certain parts, and it also lengthens the duration of the surgery [37].

With emerging robotic tools for catheter operations, complex trajectories can be followed. Just like conventional systems, the robotic systems also use X-ray visualization for navigation assistance. Two different approaches have been implemented: robotic push and magnetic pull catheters.

3.5.1 Mechanically steered robots

All three catheter systems in Fig. 7 (from top to bottom: *CorPath 200* (Corindus Vascular Robotics, USA), *Sensei X* (Hansen Medical Inc., USA) and *Amigo* (Catheter Robotics Inc., USA)) use mechanical controls to steer the tip. Each control unit is placed outside the patient in a custom designed container. The catheter is then pushed through the blood vessel to the targeted region. This requires a flexible, yet stiff sheath, in order not to deviate along the access pass due to the exerted pushing force [38–40].

3.5.2 Magnetically guided robots

Magnetically guided catheters have the advantage that they do not need to be stiff to resist the pushing force during insertion. This allows a finer and more flexible sheath.

The *Niobe ES* (Stereotaxis, USA) system follows this approach. With two magnetic field generators, one on each side of the patient, the tip is computer-controlled to be pulled through the veins. To support the surgeon's situational awareness, a force feedback system translates the resisting forces of a collision with surrounding tissues to the human interface [41].

3.6 Sensor and diagnosis systems

MIS offers the advantage of reduced trauma to the patient, but also has the difficulties of visualizing the operation area. Two types of robotised sensor systems are examined: endoscopic camera systems and X-ray imaging devices.

3.6.1 Endoscopic camera systems

Conventionally, the endoscope is controlled by the surgeon or nurse. With the surgeon at the control, s/he is forced to switch at least one hand between the endoscope and a tool. Furthermore the second approach requires good communication and coordination between nurse and surgeon.

Robotic systems provide the advantage for freeing up staff and reducing the surgeon's workload. Two endoscope systems are examined here: *Freehand 1.2* (Freehand Ltd., UK) and *ViKY* (EndoControl, France). Both systems offer a robotised endoscope, which can be controlled through an HMI. *Freehand 1.2* comes with a robot arm holding the endoscope mounted on a moveable cart. The surgeon activates the control of the endoscope by a foot pedal. A marker placed on the surgeon's head then allows the system to register head movements and to translate those into the corresponding camera motions [42]. The *ViKY*, on the other hand is directly placed on the port for MIS. This offers a minimal footprint of the system within the OR [43]. To accompany different needs in the various surgical interventions, the systems comes in two different sizes [43, 44]. A dual control interface is provided by foot switches or voice control, and both interfaces can also be used at the same time [43].



An example setup for the *Artis Zeego* system. (Image credit: Siemens AG, Source: www.siemens.com/presse)

Figure 8. Sensor systems to support MIS and other procedures

3.6.2 X-ray systems

X-ray imaging devices are usually heavy and large. This makes moving around the device difficult, and positioning the patient to the device during a surgery in also not a good option either. As an application in the OR, *Artis Zeego* (Siemens AG, Germany) was developed. As shown in Fig. 8, it provides a 6 DOF robotic arm practically carrying a C-arm. While the robot carries the weight, the surgeon can easily move the device around [45].

Targeted procedures for the *Artis Zeego* include cardiac, vascular and angiography. Since it is mounted on a robotic arm, repeated positions can easily be targeted [45].

3.7 Hair transplantation systems

The *ARTAS* (Restoration Robotics Inc., USA) for hair restoration shown in Fig. 9 provides a robotic tool follicle harvesting. This contains a camera vision system and extraction needles for the harvesting [46]. The surgeon places the tool over the harvesting area, where the camera captures the hair positions and software calculates which can be extracted in evenly thin portions. The extraction process of the selected hairs is then conducted automatically. To provide maximum accuracy, the system adapts to patients' movements during the procedure [46]. Each harvesting sessions lasts between six to ten hours and up to 1600 hair follicles are harvested [47]. The harvested hairs need to be implanted by the surgeon afterwards by hand [46, 47].

3.8 Active tool guidance systems

Many surgical tasks demand high situation awareness and navigation capabilities on behalf of the surgeon. The visual limitations of MIS have raised the challenge to accurately pinpoint the tools' actual position within the patient. This task, which requires exact insertion of needles, is difficult to achieve when there are only a few landmarks outside the body to orientate along [11].

To ease this task, robotic assistance systems have been developed. This new generation of intra-operative naviga-



Figure 9. The ARTAS hair restoration system. (Image credit: Restoration Robotics Inc.)

tion systems provide some form of active guidance well beyond the classical registration-based visualization and tracking [11].



(a) The NavioPFS sensor head and fiducials for navigation. (Image credit: Blue Belt Technologies Inc.)



(b) The manipulator arm of the ROSA system. (Image credit: Medtech S.A.)

Figure 10. Systems to support the navigation and position of surgical tools and applications

3.8.1 APEX Robotic Technology (ART)

The ART (OMNI life science Inc., USA) system simplifies the bone cutting operations during orthopaedic surgeries. The surgeon digitizes the bone after the skin is removed. The software then calculates the optimal positioning of the cuts to ensure the proper function of the prosthesis. The tool itself is basically a stabilizer with a guide rail, which positions itself to help the surgeon to cut the bone precisely [48].

3.8.2 NavioPFS

The NavioPFS⁶ (Blue Belt Technologies, USA) systems provides a 3D motion tracking of the tools. Fig. 10(a) shows the hand piece of the system, the tracking sensor is visible at the top. The tool itself is hand held by the surgeon and offers a milling tool at the tip [49].

After preparation of the patient, s/he is registered to the system, and the software calculates the limits for the milling operation based on pre-operative data. The surgeon performs the milling similar to the conventional approach, but the system monitors and visualize its progress. In case the tool approaches the limits of the planned carving, the systems slow down the milling tip to prevent unwanted limit breaches [49]. It can even retract the milling part of the tool to enforce safety at the defined limits [50].

3.8.3 Robotised Stereotactic Assistant System (ROSA)

The ROSA (Medtech, France) is a 6 DOF robot mounted on a wheeled chart. As pictured in Fig. 10(b), the arm carries a cooperatively controlled needle guide.

Targeted domain for this system include neurosurgical procedures that require high position accuracy to prevent unwanted damage to the patient's brain.

The cooperative control approach allows the surgeon to use his/her usual movements to place the guide rails. Based on pre-operative data, the system can limit the positioning of the needles around defined limits (Virtual Fixtures) and therefore increase the precision of the placement [51]. With the mandatory registration of the patient's position to the system, it is also capable of positioning itself for the planned intervention. Registration can be achieved with or without the use of a stereotactic frame [51, 52]. ROSA has also been used for orthopaedic procedures recently.

3.8.4 iSYS robot

The iSYS⁷ mobile rack robot (Fig. 11) and its original version (B-ROBs) were developed for CT- and US-guided biopsies by the robotics laboratory of ARC Seibersdorf Research (Austria) and the ACMIT⁸ center in cooperation with iSYS Medizintechnik GmbH [143, 144, 145]. Besides being used

6 Precision Freehand Sculpturing

7 Interventional Systems, iSYS GmbH, isys.co.at

for different needle-based procedures in clinical routine since 2011, a recent clinical study was validating the potential of the robot in neurosurgery [283]. The various systems were thoroughly tested on needle-penetrable phantoms, where the application accuracy was 1.1 ± 0.8 mm, which was shown to be better than the traditional free-hand technique [279].



Figure 11. The iSYS mobile rack robot. The iSYS1 is a compact robotic targeting system to assist interventional radiology and neurosurgery procedures with 3D image-based needle path planning. (Image credit: iSYS Medizintechnik GmbH [143])

3.8.5 Robotic scrub nurse

Another scenario to employ automation in the OR is a robotic scrub nurse (although it is not a surgical robot). The nurse's task is to provide the surgeon with the tools required, and also to be responsible for tracking the tools in use to prevent leaving any behind accidentally. The tracking task is nowadays supported by certain protocols and even technologies, such as the *SmartSponge*⁹ (Clear-Count, USA) system. Current practice relies on the proper use of checklists, registering in/out the tools required. To circumvent this, an automated tool handling nurse may be used. The system is fed with the planned procedure, and

could identify parts of the procedure during the surgery. The communication between the surgeon and robot may be done with hand gestures to prevent any transmission of bacteria [53, 54]. A camera-based system could also track the supplied tools and disposables, and if something is missing in the end the surgeon would receive an alarm. Such a system could be extended by mounting an antenna and RFID chips on tool and the surgical table. Then, every tool could be recognized (assuming they are tagged properly). This would eliminate the need for an extra registration step.

The scrub nurse robot *Penelope* (Robotic Systems and Technologies Inc., USA) was already introduced to clinics over six years ago. It is an automated robot for hospitals' sterile supply department, where used/dirty surgical instruments are cleaned, sorted, inspected, and repacked into containers, to be sterilized for the next procedure. The robot counts, sorts, inspects, scans, arranges and packs tools. *Penelope* assisted its first human procedure in 2008, and it also worked together with SRI's¹⁰ M7 (see Table 4) robot [55].

3.9 Summary of the commercial systems

Many of today's systems are built following the master-slave approach, such as the *da Vinci* (see subsection 3.1). This allows the surgeon to control every move of the robots, but also prevents the advantages of computerized motion control. Other systems execute automatically pre-planned procedures with minor adaptations to, e.g., the breathing motion of the patient (see subsection 3.2.1). Autonomous or automated procedures are not used (except for tissue harvesting or orthopaedic procedures), but they might come into regular use within the next years. The examined systems of sections 5 and 6 describe approaches to tackle the disadvantages of today's systems and enable new capabilities in computer-assisted surgery.

4. Discontinued robotic systems

Even though the development of robotic systems in the operation room only began 30 years ago, several systems have appeared and then vanished from the market. The full list of their basic features can be found in Table 2.

The reasons for their discontinuation were not published in many cases; public information is cited in the respective section Table 2.

4.1 AESOP and Zeus

The *Automated Endoscopic System for Optical Positioning* (AESOP) system was an automated positioning system that also featured voice control for the endoscope according to

⁸ Austrian Center for Medical Innovation and Technology; <http://www.acmit.at>

⁹ More information available at <http://clearcount.com/products/smartsponge-system>. Last accessed 06.01.2015.

¹⁰ Stanford Research Institute



The Zeus robot pictured during the Lindbergh operation. The surgeon's console of the Zeus during the first transatlantic robot-assisted cholecystectomy in 2001 and the patient cart in Strasbourg (visible within the monitor). (Image credit: IRCAD.)

Figure 12. The discontinued systems of Computer Motion Inc. (acquired by Intuitive Surgical Inc.)

the needs of the surgeon [15, 56–58]. At the time it received FDA approval in 1994, and was one-of-a-kind MIS surgical system.

Based on the AESOP technology Computer Motion Inc. built the Zeus system [57] approved by the FDA in 2001 [56]. The surgeons had two tool arms and an AESOP endoscope at their disposal. One feature unique to the Zeus system was the possibility for remote control in telesurgical MIS, since it employed the generic UDP¹¹ over the Internet. Its potentials were clearly demonstrated in transatlantic surgery (the Lindbergh operation) in September 2011 [59]. Jacques Marescaux (Institut de Recherche contre les Cancers de L'Appareil Digestif—IRCAD) performed the operation from New York. The patient and the slave robotic arms were in Strasbourg, France, approximately 7,000 km from the surgeon's site [60].

The AESOP and the Zeus were aimed for general MIS, however, following the acquisition by Intuitive Surgical Inc. the both systems were discontinued in 2003 [61].

4.2 Pathfinder

The Pathfinder (Prosurgis Ltd., UK), as shown in Fig. 13, is a small 6 DOF robot mounted on a rolling cart. It carried a needle guide rail, and the procedures of the Pathfinder were supported by immobilizing the patient's head with a Mayfield head clamp. Targeted applications were needle insertions into the patients head. Therefore, the system registered the head of the patient with the help of reflective markers and a camera mounted on the tool holder. Then, the system aligned the needle guide according to the pre-planned trajectories. The fixed guide rail allowed the surgeon to insert the needle with an increased precision compared to the conventional approach [62, p. 47-48].



Figure 13. The Pathfinder system. (Image credit: Prosurgis Ltd.)

The system was discontinued due to a lack of commercial interest, along with the company's other technology, EndoAssist.

4.3 Computer Assisted Surgery, Planning and Robotics (CASPAR)

The CASPAR (OrthoMaquet, Germany) was a milling robot composed of a 6 DOF robot arm, with a bonedrill, as a tool, as shown in Fig. 14

The main applications for the system were knee and hip surgery [63] and Anterior Cruciate Ligament (ACL) reconstruction [64]. The surgical plan execution was based on CT data, the robot executed the drilling of the required holes automatically after registration [63].

4.4 Probot

The Probot (Imperial College, UK), as shown in Fig. 15, was intended to support the surgeon with cutting out the prostate through the urethra [65]. Conventional treatments are done with long tools which are inserted through the natural orifice [66]. It offered 3 DOF actuation aligned in such a way, that the cutter at the tip of the tool could carve out cuts concentric cones, beginning at the most inserted point to the outside [67, 68]. With the system, the surgeon only measured and pre-set the required cutting, and programmed the robot. After manual alignment of the robot to the patient and insertion of the tools, the process was executed automatically [67, 68]. The technology was later acquired by Acrobot Inc. and no further updates on it were published (technically, it was discontinued).

¹¹ User Datagram Protocol



Figure 14. The CASPAR system. (Image credit: OrthMaquet GmbH)




Figure 15. The Probot mechanical system setup. (Image credit: Imperial College)

5. Systems under development for commercial exploitation

In contrast to previous sections, this section focuses on the prototypes that may create the foundation of future development paths. The full list of systems is featured in Table 3.

5.1 Improvements in robotic assisted MIS

The next step in the development of MIS might be the transition to single site surgery (some forms of it are called *Natural Orifice Transluminal Endoscopic Surgery—NOTES*) Here, the operation area is accessed through only one incision in the patient's skin. This approach is also designed to reduce trauma for the patient even further, but it makes the tools and control more complex.



Figure 16. The da Vinci tool for single site surgery (image credit: Intuitive Surgical Inc.)

Intuitive Surgical Inc. adapted its system, and has provided tools for single incision surgery since 2011. The distal half of the tools is flexible, allowing them to pass through the trocar (a five-lumen port) along a curvature, and cross over in the abdomen. Unlike in the case of manual single port devices (such as Covidien's Roticuator), the computer control mirrors back the arms when linked to the master controller. Nevertheless, the employment of semi-rigid tools means a loss of dexterity, and requires guidance tubes to ensure the right position of the tools above the target [69].



(a) The clinical prototype of the *Advanced Laparoscopy through Force refleCT(X)ion (ALF-X)* Teleap system. (Image credit: Sofar S.p.A)



(b) The *Surgenius Beta* robot. (Image credit: Surgica Robotics S.p.a.)

Figure 17. New robots for MIS on the verge of commercialization

In mid-2014, Intuitive announced the FDA approval of its new *da Vinci Sp* single port robot system that had been first shown to the public as a research prototype five years before. The tool delivers an articulated 3D HD camera, along with three fully articulated instruments over a 25 mm port. Its introduction to the market is currently projected for the second half of 2015, as an extension to the *da Vinci Xi* system [70].

In Fig. 17(a) the *ALF-X* (Sofar S.p.A, Italy) is shown, which aims to address primarily the large footprint problem of the *da Vinci*. (Although, the latest generation *Xi* alleviates much of these problems.) This was solved in the case of *ALF-X* by extending the arms and only mounting a single arm per cart [71], clearing space for the nurses. Nevertheless, extra safety features must still be implemented to ensure collision of the arms to humans [280]. Force reflection and eye-tracking control have also been added to the system. Although the system received CE mark, it is currently only employed in a teaching hospital in Rome.

Another Italian system, aiming for commercialization in the near future, is the *Surgenius* (Surgica Robotica Sp.A, Italy), as shown in Fig. 17(b). The development of *Surgenius* technically dates back to the mid-1990s, when NASA JPL developed the RAMS system. The Robot-Assisted Micro-Surgery (RAMS) system consisted of two 6 DOF arms, equipped with 6 DOF tip-force sensors, providing haptic feedback to the operator. It used a kinematically identical master controller and the operator was seated right next to the slave arms. The robot was originally aimed at ophthalmic procedures, especially for laser retina surgery. It was capable of 1:100 scaling (achieving 10 micron accuracy), tremor filtering (8–14 Hz) and eye tracking. Almost ten years later, the Italian Surgica Robotica S.p.a. company together with the Verona University decided to create a newer version of the RAMS, called *Surgenius*. The first fully functional ALPHA prototype was built in four months, and has been tested both in vitro and in vivo. One and a half years later, a BETA version was designed and built. Usability and performance evaluation has been carried out with renowned surgeons with extensive experience in robotic surgery. The robot received the CE mark in March 2012, and got through some limited in vivo trials. Currently it waits for further funding before commercialization [72].

5.2 Single site technology platforms

One of the future robots in this field is the *Single Port Orifice Robotic Technology* (SPORT) from Titan Medical Inc. (Canada). The two arms carry tools and a stereo camera with a light source [73]. Fig. 18 shows the extended configuration of the system. The insertion trocar itself is rigid and provides a fixed base for the flexible end effectors of the tool.

Fig. 19 shows the *i-Snake* robot (Imperial College, UK), which is an entirely flexible robot. It is controlled by



Figure 18. The *SPORT* system's end effector. (Image credit: Titan Medical Inc.)

commands sent for the tip of the snake. The body would follow the head on the same trajectory by using the patented principle of 'follow the leader'. This grants access to difficult-to-reach areas of the body. After the targeted area has been reached, the hollow robot provides a guide tube for tools to perform the treatment [74, 75]. Medrobotics Corp. (USA) has developed this principle into a near commercial product, know called *Flex*. They achieved approval in Europe in 2014, and aim for a first limited commercial launch on selected European markets [75].




Figure 19. The *i-Snake* robot in an example use case. (Image credit: Imperial College.)

5.3 Development in organ inspection

Fig. 20 shows the *Sayaka* capsule endoscope. This is the next step in the development of the conventional capsule endoscopy. The *Sayaka* system replaces the battery with receiver coils. These coils allow an external source to provide the power for the capsule. It also replaces the forward-looking camera with a rotatable, side facing one. The capsule is propelled forward by the natural movements of the colon. During its way through the bowel, the rotating camera creates a spiral and complete image of the inner surface of the colon.




Figure 20. The inner structure of the *Sayaka* capsule endoscope. (Image credit: RF SYSTEM lab., RF Co., Ltd.)

6. Advanced research prototypes

6.1 Proposed improvements for robotic assisted MIS

The *MiroSurge* (DLR, Germany) system follows a similar approach to the *ALF-X*, as shown in Fig. 21(a) Each arm holds a single instrument, yet the arms are smaller in size and can be mounted directly to the operating table. This prevents any relative movement between the OR table and the robotic cart. The system is designed to be able to perform complex procedures, e.g., beating heart operations, where the tools and camera are moved synchronously with the heart, to give the surgeon at the remote master the impression that the heart stands still. The virtual stopping of the heart allows the omission of the heart lung machine, and therefore reduces the trauma to the patient.

Large distance teleoperation has also been in the focus of many of these development projects [4]. Nevertheless, communication latencies pose a hard limit to master-slave teleoperation scenarios [281, 282], which may only be overcome with the automation of certain functions.

The down-scaling is also enforced in the case of the *Surgeon's operating force-feedback interface Eindhoven (SOFIE)*¹² system, where the arms are mounted on a single frame which is also attached to the OR table. However, the main feature of the system is the haptic feedback, which is provided through a custom-built master interface.

The *RAVEN 2* represents the second most common system in research. The BioRobotics Laboratory at the University of Washington (USA) and its collaborators have designed the lightweight, cable-driven arm, which allows all the movements a surgeon needs for MIS [76]. With the support of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), eight robots have been built and distributed, and the system was also made available as a commercial research platform. To facilitate development and research with the *RAVEN* platform, Applied Dexterity Inc. was founded. This markets the robot and helps with building a research network, based on the *RAVEN* platform. They also made their control software open, which is based on ROS¹³. The open software architecture allows researchers to easily prototype custom control algorithms on the system [76].

¹² Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands

¹³ Robot Operating System; for more information see <http://www.ros.org>.



(a) Setup of the DLR *MiroSurge* system. (Image credit: DLR, CC-BY 3.0)



(b) Engineering prototype of the *SOFIE* robot. (Image credit: Eindhoven University of Technology)

Figure 21. Systems with the aim to improve the current state of robotic assisted MIS

6.2 Partial automation of instruments

One of the first computer-assisted systems used in surgery was the *AESOP*, described in subsection 4.1. A more sophisticated approach is an automated tool to support the surgeon. This was demonstrated by E. Bauzano et al.: they monitored the position of the surgeon's tools, and on a command, the robotic tool was moved to the point of the surgeon's tool. During the movement, it avoided obstacles and collisions [77].

Other attempts focused on the increased time consumption of knotting under laparoscopic view. To improve this, a robot has been trained in the use of a neural network to tie knots autonomously [78].

To demonstrate that the combination of many partially automatized surgery setups can lead to a fully automatized surgery, Garcia et al. built a demonstrator of a fully automatized operation room [79]. It featured a *da Vinci*




Figure 22. Setup of the RAVEN in an underwater scenario, the NEEMO 12 (NASA Extreme Environment Mission Operations). (Image credit: NASA.)

robot (see subsection 3.1) and scrub nurse robots, serving as the tool handlers. A human physician was only located outside the room to conduct remote surgery on a human phantom without any direct line of sight [79, 80]. They could already prove the basic function with the current technology, but the integration and introduction of such systems to the market is not expected in the near future.

The standardization of basic safety and essential performance requirements of surgical robots have started under the ISO/IEC TC 184/SC 2/JWG 9 Joint Work Group on Standard for Medical Robots [9]

6.2.1 Cognition in surgical robotics

A further step in surgical automation will be the addition of cognitive capabilities to the robot, i.e., the awareness of the current medical situation, and the ability to react in an appropriate way. This capability will initially address simple tasks, such as preparation, suturing and basic cutting and puncturing, thus freeing the OR personnel for the more demanding tasks. This topic has been addressed in a number of meetings held in the past few years, e.g., the IFR Medical Robots Workshop in Heidelberg in January 2012, Milan in July 2012, Karlsruhe in May 2013 and in London in 2013. These workshops effectively established a community of researchers in this area, as demonstrated also by the EU-funded projects with related topics, e.g., SAFROS, STIFF-FLOP, EUROSURGE, I-SUR, ACTIVE [81]. This last project, for example, addresses specifically the development of automatic puncturing and suturing. The I-SUR first prototype has 8 DOF, and has been developed to automatize the needle insertion during a kidney tumour cryoablation procedure. Since this task requires a large workspace to properly position and orient the needle over the tumour area, the I-SUR robot has a micro-macro unit structure, with a macro part consisting of a linear delta robot, providing the rigid support to the micro unit, that is a 4 DOF robotic arm, with a serial kinematics, holding the cryoablation needle.

The needle holder incorporates a 6 DOF force/torque sensor (ATI Nano 17, ATI Industrial Automation Inc., NC, USA) to measure interaction forces and torques during needle insertion. The robot controller has a hierarchical architecture: a low-level and a high-level control. The low-level control controls robot position and velocity and is implemented in real-time LabVIEW 2013 (National Instruments, USA) for speed of development. In addition to position and velocity control, software safety routines monitor position, velocity and motor current. The high-level controller defines the end-effector trajectory for the needle insertion based on pre-operative data. This control level uses a passivity-based control method that allows to implement a stable interaction with the soft anatomical environment and a glitch-free transition between autonomous and teleoperated control modes. Novel EU projects, such as the *EurEye-Case*¹⁴ aims to translate these cognitive functions into clinical applications.

6.3 Flexible robots

In subsection 5.2, the first flexible robot developments for commercialization were presented. Since non-rigid tools and robots offer significant advantages in small spaces over conventional robots, new variations are being developed all the time. One of those is the *HeartLander*. Its forward motion is supported by two independent suction areas. While one holds the robot in place, the second one is pushed/pulled forward to a new location. It sucks itself to the tissue and the first one releases itself, and then the process starts again, forming an inch worm-like movement. Naturally, it follows any surface it is placed on [82, 83]. The fixation to the surface that is to be treated allows handling with the impression of a stopped heart.

6.4 Improved capsule endoscopy

While the standard capsule endoscopes are not steerable (see subsection 3.4), and some current developments aim for a better observation of the colon (e.g., the *Sayaka* capsule, see subsection 5.3), some researchers are going another step forward. They are aiming for a magnetically controllable capsule that can be moved by the physician to a desired position. Therefore, the capsule is modified to be magnetic, and the physician controls the motion and position of it with a hand-held or robot-articulated device. This allows for the detailed inspection of, e.g., the stomach [84, 85]. A water-filled stomach can support the diagnosis [86].

6.5 Hand-held robotic devices

According to Payne and Yang [50], five categories of hand-held robotic devices have been examined and/or used for surgery:

- **Tremor suppression robots**

(For example, the *Micron*, as listed in Tab. 4.)

¹⁴ Use Case for European Robotics in Ophthalmologic Micro-Surgery, <http://www.eureyecase.eu/>

- Emerging actuation technologies to provide increased workspace range [87];
- Incorporation of force sensing [88];
- Novel tracking techniques to improve robustness to occlusion.
- **Active guidance systems**
(The *NavioPFS* system, as described in sec. 3.8.2 is a current implementation of this category.)
 - Inclusion of haptic feedback [89];
 - Improvement of robustness to tracking occlusion;
 - Multi DOF tool actuation;
 - Integration of tremor suppression for applications requiring high accuracy.
- **Articulated mechatronic devices**
 - Design of instruments with increased dexterity [90];
 - Control methods for wristed instruments [91];
 - Optimization of the mapping between user input to articulated end-effector;
 - Sterilization and cost reduction.
- **Force control systems**
 - Gravity compensation [92];
 - Predictive control algorithms for improved robustness [93];
 - Multi DOF force compensation;
 - Integration of force control into articulated devices.
- **Haptic feedback devices**
 - High sensitivity, integrated force sensing [94];
 - Ungrounded force amplification in microsurgery applications [95, 96];
 - Gravity/inertia compensation;
 - Multi DOF tactile feedback.

Many of the devices require a miniaturization and clinical validation to see a wider adoption. This assumption is based on the facts, that the hand-held devices are smaller, and usually easier to use than the conventional systems.

Generally speaking, a hand-held device does not constrain the surgeon's classical non-robotic access to the patient's body, yet it enhances their capabilities with computer-assistance.

7. Future research directions

Current commercial systems, as already summarized in subsection 3.9, are either directly controlled by a human

operator or strictly follow a pre-defined paths. Automated systems are allowed to compensate (to a certain degree) instinctive motions of the patient, such as the breathing motion. Future systems might use *artificial intelligence* (AI) or cognition to come up with their own decisions. These systems then might take over specific parts of procedures, which are difficult to handle, or require repeated similar motions. The following sections describe a possible development direction of robotic surgery. Predictions are based on the information of the previous sections, and may only represent the authors' anticipation towards the future.

7.1 Anticipated future development

In section 3, a broader overview was given on the existing medical robotic systems. These have been gradually improved over the past years, and probably will continue so. This section aims to sketch the next steps ahead after the implementation of the development directions shown in section 5.

Generally speaking, the introduction of medical robots to the mass market relies on cost reduction. This might either be the shrinking direct costs of the systems or reduced patient care at the hospital. Without significant reduction of the costs, any system will only remain available to the richest institutions and most developed countries.

7.1.1 MIS and Single-Site Surgery

In MIS, as the newest version of the da Vinci also shows, there is still tremendous opportunity for perfection, to gradually improve robotic concepts and systems. While Intuitive Surgical Inc. remains the dominant player in the field, other companies are willing to copy its success and fix minor flaws and drawbacks with their systems.

In general, many of the teleoperated surgical robot companies are aiming to reduce the size and weight of their systems. A smaller OR footprint, especially of the arms, allows closer placement, and thus frees space for movement. Improvements in path planning algorithms could avoid the problem of manual rearrangement to prevent collisions [97]. This can be enabled by the addition of redundant DOF, which allow the robot to keep the position of the end effector stable, while moving the arm out of the way. Such advanced capabilities have been demonstrated by e.g., the new generation of KUKA arms, the LBR4¹⁵ and the intelligent industrial work assistant's (iiwa) new medical version [98].

The implied development direction from MIS (subsection 5.1) to single site surgery (q.v. subsection 5.2) could lead to the development of NOTES-capable robotic systems [99]. An application of the NOTES technique on a routine basis would most likely require more complex mechanics to allow the same degree of freedom as today's system

¹⁵ LBR stands for 'Leichtbauroboter', German for lightweight robot.

through a single incision. First development bases are shown with e.g., the *SPORT* system of subsection 5.2. NOTES robots are believed to break the market dominance of *da Vinci* type surgery one day.

7.1.2 Renaissance of image-guided robots

In orthopaedics, we foresee the renaissance of robotics, Stryker, Google, Ethicon (Johnson and Johnson), Covidien (Medtronic) and other companies are all having a strong bet on the emergence of image-guided robotic surgery. Here again, 20-year-old concepts are re-emerging, since the advancement of technology made it more affordable and easier to achieve engineering soundness. Furthermore, with the rise of alternative tracking modalities, practically all tools can be followed in the operating environment, providing a virtual verification and guidance solution for major surgeries (given an accurate registration).

A current way of development is to integrate the robots with advanced imaging devices to increase their utility by allowing intra-operative imaging. MRI is a non-invasive, high-quality imaging modality, allowing continuous image guidance [29]. MR-compatible robotics has been in the focus of research interest since the mid-1990s, and numerous research prototypes are on the rise beyond the ones already listed in the paper. Johns Hopkins University (Baltimore, USA), with Queens University (Kingston, Canada) and the Automation and Interventional Medicine Laboratory at the Worcester Polytechnic Institute (Worcester, USA) are considered to be the leading centres in MR-compatible neurosurgery and brachytherapy system development [100–102].

7.1.3 Sensor systems

Current sensor systems (as described in subsection 3.4 and 3.6) offer new ways and more information for the surgeon. One main challenge for integration of robotic systems in this area will be the development of reliable and robust algorithms to pre-process the data for the surgeon. This would eventually reduce the workload and increase the concentration on the automatically selected abnormal areas. Main requirement for such computer systems must be the prevention of false negative results, since a missed medical condition would threaten the patient.

Another development area for sensory networks in MIS might be patient registration for currently used systems like the *da Vinci* (see subsection 3.1). A registration of the patient to the robot can employ an intra-operative navigation system based on pre-operative data it would enable advanced safety features and might also support innovative patient positioning. Currently, the patient is either placed horizontally or fixed very firmly to the table, even though many conventional fixation devices could be compatible with current robots. This is done due to the fear

of any patient motion during robotic surgery. Any unwanted and abrupt changes can lead to serious complications and damage for the patient [103, 104]. With knowledge of the patient's movements, the system could adapt to the new situation and prevent such adverse events.

7.1.4 HMI improvements

The layout and working principle of HMI for different systems significantly affects the way a system can be used. A good HMI is intuitive and can be used after a minimum of training. Touch interfaces are currently replacing conventional input devices, such as keyboards, in many areas and the touchless interfaces are also on the horizon, such as ADORA, spin-off from UMC Maribor¹⁶. A more radical approach would be to create a system that provides surgeons the impression s/he is within the patient's body. With such a Virtual Reality (VR) system, the surgeon's movements could be tracked and translated to directly control the robotic tools within the patient. This VR could be enhanced with additional information from multiple sources and numerous units could be join in a collaborative scenario [105, 106]. An external imaging device, such as an *Ultra Sound* (US) or a C-arm (maybe a robotic one, such as the *Artis Zeego*, subsection 3.6) could be merged with the visual image displayed on the surgeon's screen. This could then allow see-through capabilities for surgeons.

Arguably, proper training has become one of the most crucial elements of the operation of complex, modern robotic devices. Recently, the advantages in HMI and computer generated environments led to new methods in surgical training [13, 107, 108]. Different surgery scenarios can be simulated on an high fidelity virtual patient to properly skill the surgeon and improve the outcome of real interventions.

7.1.5 Summary

Fundamentally, pure technological improvements may already lead to reduced patient trauma and new patient care possibilities in various domains of surgery. Furthermore, new technologies, such as the pre-processing of data (indicated in subsubsection 7.1.3) may reduce the workload for the surgeon during the operation. There are certain indications for single-site and NOTES surgery, and these are already applied to various treatment types.

7.2 Assisted surgery planning and execution

An advanced cognitive surgical planning system may provide assistance in navigated surgery. For that, a generalized model of the patient is needed, and the entrance point (port) has to be defined. First steps have been taken in this direction [109], and tool tracking has become possible even under ultrasonic guidance. The information, where the camera and tools are located with

¹⁶ For further information see: <http://www.adora-med.com/>.

respect to the entrance point might support the orientation of the surgeon significantly. If the system registers the patient body as a whole, the absolute position within the body can be calculated. This could be displayed on the vision system of the surgeon. Further iterations of the system might even help in the identification of tissue types. Since the position would be known, the search space for the anatomical structures could be reduced drastically. The extracted possible tissues can then be displayed in an overlay on the surgeons' vision. This knowledge can also be used to compare the collected data to a database of healthy human subjects. If a difference is detected, it could be directly pointed out. This might further help to identify any pathologies, even before they are recognized by the surgeon.

3D printers showed a great progress in the recent years in surgery training and preparation. For the latter cases, scaled 3D printed copies of the real situation of the patient can help to visualize difficult situations before the surgery has begun. This might reduce the patients trauma and therefore support the recovery after the surgery. On the other hand, 3D printing (i.e., stereo lithography) has a great potential even in surgical robotics. The flexibility of the technology may allow one day custom disposable tools and instruments [110].

7.2.1 Knowledge-based assistance systems

Furthermore, a knowledge-based system could be used to identify rare illnesses that the medical staff is not aware of. The input could be the complete medical record of the patient, including the current condition, and the diagnosis would be based on a large dataset, personal history, etc. matched against the collection of known diseases. Then, the team can concentrate on the actual treatment, while the AI sorts out the conditions to be treated. IBM's Watson supercomputer is now working on similar research topics, analysing big data, millions of electronic patient records, which are saved in unstructured natural language format [111]. Microsoft's HealthVault is also seen as a similar system, while Google has given up on its Health project 4 years ago.¹⁷

7.3 Partially or fully automated execution

It is believed that certain applications of robotic surgery or computer-assisted surgery might become automated, as first trials have been described in subsection 6.2. Some tasks are easier to automate due to their repetitiveness, such as suturing. Semi-automated and autonomous approaches have been investigated by various groups. On the cognitive side, a long thought-after feature is to estimate what the surgeon would like to do next. This could be taken into account when planning and executing the next movement of the robotised tool or camera [112].

Another possible field of application for partially automated surgery might be microsurgery of e.g., the eye. Here, the precision of the surgery needs to be high in order to achieve a benefit for the patient with the procedure. One approach to increase the surgical outcome is an automated tool for lens replacements. It could be actuated by magnetic fields and piezoelectric actuators. Even in the early stages of development, such a system allows precise micro movements [113].

7.3.1 Tool tracking

One of the emerging modalities for intra-operative navigation is electromagnetic tracking (EMT) [114]. Its main advantage is that it does not require the continuous line-of-sight between the generator and the receiver (as it is the case with the *NavioPFS* system, sec. 3.8.2), while this remains a serious limitation for optical tracking, for example. EMT makes it possible to assist complex interventions, such as bronchoscopy, radiosurgery, heart ablation and beyond [114].

In the future, tracking technology could get embedded in the entire operating theatre. The addition of identification marks, e.g., RFID tags, to the tools then also enables tracking and identification of the used tools and the analysis of the procedures and work flows during a surgery without interfering with the workflow. The potential offered by the tracking data includes improvements in surgery support technologies and data gathering for surgical simulation tools. These could be used for training medical staff based on real examples and tracking down sources of error.

Beyond the pure tracking of the used tools, the position data could also be used to support the surgeon. Since the system also knows position, orientation and type of the tools currently in use, the surgeon's vision could be enhanced with augmented reality. Here, an overlay of the conventional image provided by an endoscope may be added to show e.g., where a new tool will appear on screen, or where the surgeon is exactly positioned with the body of the patient. The further development of tracking systems will include the support of multi-sensor applications, significant extension of the work space and innovative types of sensors.

7.3.2 Highly automated surgical procedure

A highly automated surgery could provide medical support for people in remote areas, for example, winter expeditions in Antarctica or extreme situations, such as during the aftermath of natural disasters or on the battle field. To achieve this goal, many technologies are to be developed. Some of them were explained in the previous subsections 6.2.1, 3.8.4 and 7.3.1.

¹⁷ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Google_Health

These technologies combined with a remote controllable version of e.g., the *da Vinci*, or one of its competitors might allow the construction of such a *Trauma Pod*. This partially autonomous patient care unit was proposed by the Defence Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). DARPA's targets for this pod was to improve the early treatment of wounded soldiers on the battlefield. If taken care by a teleoperated robot, the army does not need to risk the lives of highly trained medical staff (c.f. subsection 6.2).

7.4 Free moving micro-robotic systems


As already discussed in subsection 6.4, there are efforts to manipulate the movements of capsules through magnetic fields. An improvement on motion scale and resolution could be achieved by using a computer-assisted field generator. Such magnetic fields are used in the Niobe system as guidance, described in subsection 3.5. Further development in relying on magnetic levitation could allow a free floating capsule with the ability to move in any direction [115], and first experiments have been conducted successfully [116]. Even finer control step sizes could be achieved by using a capsule that is able to manipulate its own magnetic field [117]. But the control, localization and data transmission of micro robots within the human body is not limited to magnetic fields. Nelson et al. [115] discussed in details different options on control and application of different types of micro robots, such as CT or US for localization or different locomotion options.

A step further might be a two-part robot as shown in Fig. 22(a). The main section is magnetic and provides the controllable basement of the robot. It is steered by a system outside the patients body, and it also provides the energy for the payload, which is located in the adjacent non-magnetic part. Driven by e.g., ultrasonic motors, small surgeries could be conducted or biopsies taken. Without the need of a umbilical cord, the system may include used with high patient comfort and in difficult-to-reach parts of the body.

7.5 Nanorobots

Considering the miniaturization over the last two decades, it might only be a question of time, when robotic systems will transverse through our cardiovascular system. Nano-scale devices may be used for transporting, delivering and targeting drugs. This means the assembling and control of individual modules, such as nano-actuators and nano-sensors through molecular computer-aided design.

First applications may be a nano capsule, carrying a drug release mechanism that can be controlled from outside the body. This would allow to insert the drug at some point of the patient's body with the activation signal given at the actual treatment area. This could reduce the amount of medication needed to achieve a certain level of medicine at desired positions within the body. The capsule could also be used to store the drug over a long period, to be only activated on demand.



(a) Concept of a magnetic micro-robotic with in-situ interaction capabilities developed in the ARAKNES project. (Image credit: ARAKNES project.)



(b) The SRINT intra-abdominal robot. (Image credit: ARAKNES project and Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna.)

Figure 23. Micro-Robotics development concepts and prototypes

Further system aim to affect various biological procedures, e.g., repairing damaged cells or the DNA itself. Artificial red blood-cells could be affected to absorb a hundred times more oxygen, and nano-robot swarms could be directed around the body with external magnetic fields.

One of the most prominent research group in this area is at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zürich (ETH). They are also involved in the *Nano-Actuators and Nano-Sensors for Medical Applications* (NANOMA) project, aiming at the development of a drug delivery microbotic systems. They plan to use ferromagnetic microcapsules in the cardiovascular system, navigated via the induction of force from magnetic gradients generated by a magnetic resonance machine, similar to those used for MRI [118]. To enable such systems self propelled movements through human fluids, researchers at the *Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems* in Stuttgart have developed a small

propulsion system, based on external magnetic fields. They copied the scallops pulsating movements, with fast closing and slow opening movements. As we have non-Newtonian fluids in the body, it can achieve forward motion [119].

7.6 Future development roadmap

With the anticipated compound growth of 12.6% per year from 2012 to 2018, the market for medical robots is expected to reach a total volume of \$13.6 billion in 2018 [120], and \$20 billion by 2020 [277]. To maximize the benefit and market share for their economies, government bodies and NGOs aim to steer and coordinate the future development of medical robots. They try to predict the future development directions and work out areas of cooperation between the different developing bodies. The public interest is to develop fundamental technologies in a cooperative way. Core elements of the future development in medical robotics is aiming at: cost reduction, safety and accuracy [103, 109].

In Europe, the *euRobotics aisbl*, Europe's highest-level international robotics organization has prepared a *Strategic Research Agenda* (SRA) [121] followed by a *Multi-Annual Roadmap* (MAR) [122], laying out the near future of robotic research, including medical application. In the USA, the Government has long been emphasizing the growing significance of robotics, and a key domain within is medical and surgical robotics. Already in 2009, the team of invited US experts created the US Robotics Roadmap, outlining the development of the domain for the next 5, 10 and 15 years, respectively. In due time, the updated version of the US Robotics Roadmap was released in 2013, prepared by the Congressional Robotics Caucus Advisory Committee [123, 124].

8. Conclusion

Over the last three decades of development in the area of computer-assisted medicine and robotic surgery, many new technologies emerged. These laid the foundation of new surgical techniques, supported by capable engineering systems. Not all of these reached the market, and many of the initially successful systems failed to attract sufficient customers. We foresee a steady rise of the field, while the market is also realizing its potential from the business point of view.

The first challenge for the near future will be to adapt the conventional treatment forms to the integrated, computer-assisted alternatives. This requires new training plans for the medical staff, and changes in the layout of the hospitals to accommodate the new requirements. Without a team that can exploit these opportunities to the fullest, the gained benefit of technology will stay small. The other challenge will be to ensure interoperability of systems, and to achieve wider adoption. Today's robot manufacturers are keen on keeping their systems closed in order to ensure customer

loyalty and future sales for themselves. To facilitate interoperability, standards in interfaces need to be defined, similar to the ones used in the manufacturing industry. This would allow a more direct competition, which eventually enables new use cases and treatment possibilities. Furthermore, essential objective safety and performance metrics are needed for the entire field, that can only be realized via international standards.

In the age of ICT, engineering supports the medical staff to treat diseases in novel ways. The society is gradually understanding and accepting surgical robotic technology. The development will eventually lead to an increased rate of success with better patient outcome, for the benefit of all.

9. System key-features overview tables

As already introduced in subsection 1.4, the survey incorporates a list of surgical robot system, organized in tabular form. The tables contain the following columns¹⁸:

- **System name**
Full name of the reviewed system and version number, where applicable. If the system was commercially available, yet discontinued, it is marked, or similarly, if a competitor took over the system and sells it now under a different name.
- **Producer**
The name of the manufacturer or developer, including the domicile (city and country).
- **Type of system**
Primary form of use, e.g., automated treatment delivery, or teleoperated.
- **Key features of the system**
E.g., number of tools, availability of haptic feedback, etc.
- **Targeted procedures**
Foreseen surgical treatment types targeted, even if only a theoretical application domain.
- **Achieved approval**
Clearance efforts, focusing on the USA and Europe. For the US, an approval by the FDA and in Europe, the *Conformité Européenne* (CE) mark is required.
- **Cost of the system**
Estimated cost of a single system is given, if available. Installation costs and maintenance/running costs are indicated, where applicable.

The pages containing the tables are in landscape format for readability and to allow maximum space usage for the tables. All data represents the current best knowledge of the authors, as of April 2015.

¹⁸ Research systems are not quoted for approval and costs for obvious reasons.

List of commercially available systems

Name	Producer	Type	Key features	Targeted procedures	Regulatory approval	Approx. costs
Amigo	CatheterRobotics Inc. Mt. Olive, NJ	Positioning and guidance of catheters [125]		Catheter interventions [40]	CE mark (2010) FDA clearance [125]	
ART	OMINI life science Inc. East Taunton, MA	Surgical navigation/ assistance [48]		TKA [48]		
ARTAS	Restoration Robotics Inc. San Jose, CA	Automated operation [46]	Hair follicle removal and restoration [46]	Hair transplant [46]	FDA clearance (2011) CE mark (2012) [126]	≈\$200k per system [47]; 100 systems worldwide [47]
Artis Zeego	Siemens Healthcare Erlangen, Germany	CT scanner mounted on a robot arm [45]	Freely moveable scanner during surgical procedures [45]	Intra-operative imaging		\$2M [127]
Autopulse Plus	ZOLL Medical Corp. Chelmsford, MA	Automated reanimation sequence [128]	Emergency intervention [128]	Cardiac arrest treatment [128]	FDA clearance (2003) [129]	
CorPath200	Corindus Inc. Waltham, MA	Positioning and guidance of catheters [38]		Catheter interventions [38]	FDA clearance for percutaneous coronary intervention (2012) [130]	
CyberKnife	Accuray Inc. Sunnyvale, CA	Automated targeting of predefined tumors [131]	6 DOF at the LINAC 3-6DOF of the patient stretcher [132]	Radiotherapy [133]	FDA clearance to treat tumours anywhere in the body [134]	\$4M incl. initial trainings [135]; 289 units as of 2014 [136]
da Vinci Surgical System Variants: S, Si, Xi	Intuitive Surgical Inc. Sunnyvale, CA	Teleoperated [137]	3 surgical tools plus 1 camera arm; 6 DOF per tool, numerous instruments [138]	MIS [137]		\$1-2.4M [18, 139] 3400+ units installed [18]
DEX Robot	Dextérité Surgical Co. Annecy, France	Hand-held MIS tool [278]	Laparoscopic tool	General MIS†	CE mark	
Endotics	Era Endoscopy s.r.l Pisa, Italy	Teleoperated endoscope [35, 36]	Inch worm movements [36]	Colonoscopy [35, 36]	Concentrates on the European market [35]	

List of commercially available systems

Name	Producer	Type	Key features	Targeted procedures	Regulatory approval	Approx. costs
FreeHand 1.2	Freehand Ltd. Surrey, UK	Automated position of the endoscope [42]	Controlled by the surgeon's eye movements [42, 140]	MIS [140]		
Gamma Knife	Elektra AB Stockholm, Sweden	Irradiation of preselected areas in the head of the patient [141]	Focused radiation source and fixed patient head [141]	Irradiation of brain and head tumours [141]		
Invendoscope	Invendo medical Kissing, Germany	Teleoperated endoscope [35]	Acts also as a tool sheath [35]	Colonoscopy [35]	FDA clearance (2013) [142]	
iSYS (formerly B-Rob [143])	iSYS Medizintechnik GmbH Kitzbühel, Austria	Teleoperated with computer assisted guidance [144]	CT compatible, no image artefacts and fits into standard gantry [145]	Biopsy procedures under image guidance [146]	CE mark (2012), FDA clearance (2014) [284]	
Laparo-Angle	Cambridge Devices Inc. Cambridge, UK	Hand held, computerassisted tools [147]		MIS [147]	CE mark (2008) [148]	
LS-1	Integrated Medical Sys. Inc. Signal Hill, CA	Automated medication and ventilation [149]		Emergency intervention, patient care [149]	FDA clearance (2008) [150]; CE mark (2009) [151]	
MAXIO	Perfint Healthcare Pvt. Ltd. Tamil Nadu Chennai, India	Automated needle placement [152]	Needle placement, CT-based verification [152, 153]	Tumour treatment [152, 153]	CE mark [153]	≈\$1M [154]
NavioPFS	Blue Belt Technologies Inc. Plymouth, MN	Supervision of the movements of the surgeon [49]	CT-free surveillance [49]	Orthopaedic surgery [155]		
NeuroMate	Renishaw plc Wotton-under-Edge Gloucestershire, UK	Robotic support for neurosurgery [156]		Deep brain stimulation (DBS), stereoelectroencephalography (SEEG) [156]	CE mark (1997) FDA clearance (1997) [156]	
Niobe	Stereotaxis St. Louis, MO	Positioning and guidance of catheters [157]	Magnetic controlled guidance sheaths [157, 158]	Catheter interventions [158]	FDA clearance (2013) [159]	
Novalis Radiosurgery (w/ Truebeam STx)	BrainLab AG Munich, Germany	Automated irradiation of preplanned areas [160]		Radiation treatment of tumours [161]		
PillCam	COLON Given Imaging Ltd. Yoqneam, Israel	Capsule endoscopy of the entire colon [162, 163]	For- and backward facing camera [163]	Colonoscopy [163]	FDA (2014) [162]	

List of commercially available systems

Name	Producer	Type	Key features	Targeted procedures	Regulatory approval	Approx. costs
Renaissance (originally SpineAssist)	Mazor Robotics Ltd. Caesarea Park South, Israel	Automated placing of holes and implants [32]	Fixed to the spine for minimal discrepancy between robot & patient [32]	Spine surgery, MIS [164]	FDA clearance (2011) [165] CE mark for spinal surgery and brain operations (2011) [165, 166]	
RIO System (acquired by Stryker Inc. (2013) [167])	MAKO Surgical Corp. Fort Lauderdale, FL	Surgeon assistance in milling holes for implants [168]		TKA and THA [169]	FDA clearance (2010) CE mark (2010) [169]	Over 150 devices [170]; ≈\$1M [171]
THINK Surgical (ROBODOC)	Curexo Technology Corp. Fremont, CA; Re-release under Think Surgical [31]	Automated milling of a predefined hole to place the implant [172]	1 milling tool with 5 DOF [173]	Orthopaedic surgery [172]	FDA clearance for THA (2008) [172] seeking for TKA [172]; CE mark (1996) [28]	≈\$600k [173] _50 systems worldwide. No usage any more in Europe [174]
ROSA	Medtech Montpellier, France	Tool guidance and navigation assistance in neurosurgery [51]	Touch and markerfree registration [51, 52]; haptic inputs [52]	Neurosurgery [51]; prepared for spine surgery from 2014 [52]	FDA clearance (2014) CE mark (2013)[51]	21+ systems worldwide [175]
Radius T Surgical System	Tuebingen Scientific Medical GmbH Tübingen, Germany	Hand-held, computerassisted tools [176]	Two more DOF than conventional tools	MIS [177]	CE mark (2003) [176]	
RP-VITA (RP-7)	InTouch Technologies Inc. Santa Barbara, CA	Telepresence [178, 179]	telementoring, tele-visiting	Remote patient care and medical staff support [180]	FDA clearance (2013) [179]	
Sensei X, Magellan	Hansen Medical Inc. Mountain View, CA	Steerable guidance of catheters to the targeted position [181]	2 steerable sheaths, each 2 DOF [63]	Catheter interventions [181]	FDA and CE mark for catheter operations (2009) [182]	\$545k per sys.; each catheter ≈\$1600
SOLOASSIST	AKTORmed GmbH Barbing, Germany	Automated endoscope holder [183]	Stabilised image capture, control interface attached to ordinary surgery tools [183]	Surgeon support in general MIS [183]	CE mark [183]	≈60k€
ViKY	EndoControl Grenoble, France	Automated endoscope holder [44]	Stabilized image capture, foot or voice control [44]	Surgeon support in general MIS [44, 184]	CE mark (2007) FDA clearance (2008) [184]	

Table 1. Commercially available systems

List of discontinued systems (as of April 2015)

Name	Producer	Type	Key features	Targeted procedures	Regulatory approval	Costs	Discontinued
AESOP (1994) [56]	Computer Motion Inc. Goleta, CA	Automated endoscope holding and positioning [56] 2003 [61]	Voice controlled [56]	Assistance in MIS [56]	FDA clearance	\$65k (2000) [56]	2003
CASPAR	OrthoMaquet Rastatt Germany	Automated milling of a pre-defined holes [63]		surgery [63], ACL repair [64]			2001 [63]
EndoAssist	Armstrong Healthcare Ltd. Coleraine, Northern Ireland	Automated endoscope holding and positioning [185]		Camera assistance in laparoscopic surgery [185, 186]	FDA clearance (2005) [186]		
Minerva	University of Lausanne Lausanne, Switzerland	Stereotactic neurosurgery [187]		Brain lesion treatment, intra-operative CT-based			2003 [188]
InnoMotion	Innomedic GmbH Herxheim, Germany (acquired by Johnson & Johnson [189])		Teleoperated MR-compatible needle Synthes Inc. West Chester, PA insertion [190] Master-slave control, pneumatic actuated [35]	MRI guided sciatic pain an facet joint treatments, biopsies, drainages and CT guided osteosynthesis [190]	CE mark (2005), FDA cleared (2012) [190]		
PathFinder	Prosurge Ltd. Bracknell, UK	Automated positioning of needles [62]		Brain treatment, e.g, biopsy or DBS [63]	FDA clearance (2004) for neurosurgery [191]		unknown [192]
PinTrace (last source being [193])	Medical Robotics Stockholm, Sweden	Assisted surgery [193]	Modular architecture, high precision [193]	Hip fracture surgery [193]	CE mark (2010) [193]		
Probot	Imperial College London London, UK	Automated prostate surgery [194]	Automated cutting of predefined volumes [194]	Prostate resection [194]			discontinued; acquired by Acrobot
Stanmore Sculptor (formerly Acrobot, acquired by MAKO [195])	Stanmore Implants Ltd. Elstree, UK	Hands-on robot [196]	Restriction of the surgeon's movement to a pre-defined "safe zone" [196]	Orthopaedic surgery [196]	FDA clearance for unicompartmental knee replacement surgery [196]		2013
Zeus (2001) [56]	Computer Motion Inc. Mountain View, CA	Teleoperated, remote control [59] 2003 [61]	Build upon the AESOP technology [57]	MIS [57]	FDA clearance	\$975k (2001) [197]	2003

Table 2. Discontinued systems

Upcoming systems for commercial exploitation

Name	Producer	Type	Key features	Targeted procedures	Regulatory approval	Anticipated costs
ALF-X	Sofar S.p.A. Milano, Italy	Teleoperated [198]	Extended arms to clear space around the patient [71]	MIS [71]	CE mark (2011) [71]	\$1–1.4M [139]
Bitrack	Rob Surgical Systems Barcelona, Spain	Teleoperated	Minimized floor space, actuated tools	MIS [270]	CE mark (2016)	
da Vinci Sp	Intuitive Surgical Inc., Sunnyvale, CA	Teleoperated threearmed single port robot [70]	25 mm overtube, full-HD stereo camera	General abdominal procedures	FDA clearance (2014) [70]	
Eterne	Meerecompany South Korea	Teleoperated [199]		General MIS [199, 200]		
Eye Robot (formerly Steady-Hand)	LCSR, Johns Hopkins Univ. Baltimore, MD	cooperativelycontrolled [201]	RCM mechanism, micro movements [201]	Pre-clinical studies [201]		
FLEX [202]	Medrobotics Corp. Raynham, MA	Teleoperated with computer aid [203]	Flexible, worm-like movements [203]; Acts as a sheath after reaching the target [203]	Cardiac [203] and Ear, Nose and Throat surgery (ENT)	CE mark (2014) [204]	≈\$700k [139]
IBIS	Japan	Teleoperated [205]		General MIS [205]		
iSR'obot Mona Lisa	Biobot surgical PTE LTD Woodlands Sector, Singapore	Image guided [206]	Automated 2D scanning and 3D reconstruction of the prostate [206, 207]; computer aided biopsy planning and execution [206, 207]	Prostate biopsy [206]	CE and FDA [206]	
Lapabot	University of California Los Angeles, CA	Teleoperated [208]	Shared control for teaching [208]	Laparoscopic procedures [208]		
MASTER	Nanyang Technological University, Singapore	Teleoperated [209]	NOTES robot	MIS [209]		

Upcoming systems for commercial exploitation

Name	Producer	Type	Key features	Targeted procedures	Regulatory approval	Anticipated costs
Micro hand A	Tianjin University Nankai University and Tianjin Medical University General Hospital Tianjin, China	Teleoperated [210]	360 degree actuated instruments [210, 211]	Laryngeal MIS [210, 211]		
Neurostar	Neurostar Tübingen, Germany	Motonized and automated Stereotaxic [213]	Highly automated to eliminate error sources [214]	DBS [214]		
Penelope	Robotic Systems & Technologies Inc. New York, NY	Automatic sterile supply handling [215, 216]	Autonomous sterilisation and packaging of surgical tools [216]	Surgical supply handling [215, 216]		
Robin Heart	Foundation for Cardiac Surgery Development Zabrze, Poland	Teleoperated [217]	Partial autonomy [218]	MIS [217]	preparing for CE marking [219]	
Sayaka	RF Corp. Ltd. Nagano, Japan	Capsule endoscope [220]	Side facing, rotating camera inside the pill, battery free [220]	Inspection of the stomach and colon [220]		≈\$500 [221]
SPORT (formerly Amadeus Composer) [222]	Titan Medical Inc. Toronto, ON	Teleoperated [73, 223]	Single-site surgery [224] with licensed technology from Columbia University [222]	MIS [223]	Planned FDA for 2015 [225]	<\$1M per unit [139, 226–228]
Surgenius	Surgica Robotica S.p.A Verona, Italy	Teleoperated [72, 229]		MIS [72, 229]	CE mark (2012) [72, 230, 231]	
SurgiBot	TransEnterix Surgical Inc. Morrisville, NC	Assisted tool guidance [232]	3D vision, robot tool guidance, single-site surgery [232]	General MIS [232]		≈\$500k to \$1M [233, 234]
TMS robot	Axilum Robotics Strasbourg France	Automated coil placement at defined positions [235, 236]	Repeatability of procedures through playback [236]	Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) [235, 236]	CE mark (2013) [237]	

Table 3. Upcoming systems for commercial exploitation

List of advanced research prototypes (as of April 2015)

Name	Producer	Type	Key features	Targeted procedures
ACTIVE (Active Constraints Technologies for Ill-defined or Volatile Environments)	European FP7 consortium, led by the NEAR Lab, Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Italy [238]	Teleoperated [239]	Motion compensation [239]; hands-on [239]	Awake DBS surgery [240]
ASRS (AVRA Surgical Robotics System)	AVRA Surgical Robotics Inc. New York, NY	Teleoperated [241]	Lightweight arms [241]; patient cart mounted base [241]; modular setup [241]	MIS [241]
BIT	Maimonides Institute for Biomedical Research Córdoba (IMIBIC), Córdoba, Spain	Teleoperated [271]	3 universal robot arms, 3D vision system [271]	MIS [271]
Cmag	AEON SCIENTIFIC AG Schlieren-Zürich Switzerland	Computer-assisted, teleoperated catheter [242]	Magnetic guided catheter [242]	Catheter operations [242]
CASCADE (Cognitive autonomous Catheters operating in dynamic environments)	European FP7 consortium, led by Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium	Autonomous decision making [243]	Micro-hydraulically actuated dexterous catheter; learning from human demonstration and supervision [243]	Catheter interventions [243]
CoBRASurge (Compact Bevel-gear Robot for Advanced Surgery)	University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Depart. of Mechanical Engineering Lincoln, NB	Teleoperated, computer assisted [244]	cooperative robot-assisted surgery system [244]; micro-macro mechanical architecture [244]	Single site surgery [244]
HeartLander	HeartLander Surgical Inc. Westwood, MA with Carnegie Mellon University, PA	Teleoperated [245]	Inch-worm like movement along the surface of the heart [82, 83]	Heart procedure with closed chest [83]
IGAR (Image-Guided Autonomous Robot)	CSii and MDA Canada	Autonomous operation	Image-guided targeting of possible tumorous tissue [246]	Breast cancer biopsies [246]
i-Snake	Imperial College London, UK	Teleoperated [247]		Keyhole heart surgery [247]
KidsArm	Hospital of Sick Kids & University of Toronto Toronto, ON	Teleoperated [248]	Paediatric usage [248, 249]; unfolds manipulators in the abdomen [248, 249]	MIS on children [248]
M7	Stanford Research Institute Menlo Park, CA	Teleoperated [250]	Compensate movements of the operation room, e.g., in a plane [250]	General MIS in battlefield and space applications [250]
MM-1 & MM-2	University of Tokyo Tokyo, Japan [216]	Teleoperated [251]	Pass deep (≥ 9 cm) through a narrow corridor, wide freedom of motion ($> 3 \times 3$ cm ²) [251]	Neurosurgery [251]
Micron	Medical Robotics Technology Center, The Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA	Hand-held active tools [252]	Reduce hand tremor by actively compensating unwanted movements [252]	Active tool for increased precision [252]

List of advanced research prototypes (as of April 2015)

Name	Producer	Type	Key features	Targeted procedures
MINIR (Minimally Invasive Neurosurgical Intracranial Robot)	University of Maryland College Park, MD	Teleoperated [253]	Maggot-like movements to reach tumorous areas through a small skull opening [253]	Brain surgery [253]
MiroSurge	German Aerospace Center (DLR) Robotics and Mechatronics Center Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany	Teleoperated [254]	Integrated force-torque sensing robot arms [254]	General MIS [254]
MrBot	Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, MD	Automated urology procedures under MRI guidance [255]	MRI compatible [256]; pneumatic actuated [256]	Percutaneous interventions such as biopsy, thermal ablations or brachytherapy [256]
Nebraska Robots	University of Nebraska, Lincoln, College of Engineering, Lincoln, NA	Teleoperated [257]	Miniature robots to be placed in the abdominal cavity [257]	MIS [257]
Prec-Eye	Technical University of Eindhoven, the Netherlands	Teleoperated [258]	High precision [258]; fast tool exchange [258]	Eye surgery [258]
Raven 2	University of Washington Seattle, WA Applied Dexterity Inc., Seattle, WA	Teleoperated [259]	Open-source platform [260]; can be bought for research for only \$289k [261]	MIS or open surgery [259]
Robotic Eye Surgery	KU Leuven Leuven, Belgium	Teleoperated and cooperative controlled [262]	μ m accuracy [262]	Retinal Vein Occlusion [262]
SOFIE ¹⁹	Technical University of Eindhoven, the Netherlands	Teleoperated [263]	Haptic feedback, compact layout [263]	MIS [263]
SPRINT ²⁰ ARAKNES project ²¹	Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Centre for Research in Microengineering Pisa, Italy	Teleoperated [264]	Self-assembling instruments after insertion through single incision [264]	MIS [264]
SYMBIS (formerly: neuroArm [265])	IMRIS, University of Calgary Calgary, AB	Teleoperated [266, 267]	Image-guided, fully MR-compatible [266, 268]	Neurosurgery [266, 267]
Veebot	Veebot LLC Mountain View, CA	Image-guided vein identification	Automated operations ²²	Blood drainage
Vesalius/ Huatuo Platform	Katholieke Universiteit Leuven Leuven, the Netherlands Int.l. Center of Excellence in Medical Robot, Taiwan	Teleoperated [269]	Adjustable remote centre of motion; high level of customisation possible [269]	General MIS [269]

¹⁹Surgeon's operating force-feedback interface Eindhoven

²⁰Single-Port laparoscopic bimanual robot

²¹Array of Robots Augmenting the Kinematics of Endoluminal Surgery

²²<http://www.vee-bot.com>

Table 4. Advanced research prototypes

10. Acknowledgements

This review was partially funded through the *EuRoSurge project* (FP7-ICT-2011-7). Further information about it is online available under: <http://www.eurosurge.eu/>. T. Haidegger is a Hungarian Eötvös Fellow. His work is supported by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences' Bolyai Scholarship. Updates to this article will appear on SurgRob blog (<http://surgrob.blogspot.com/>).

11. References

- [1] A.D. Alexander. Impacts of telementation on modern society. In *Proc. of the 1st CISM-ITOMM Symposium*, pages 121–136, 1972.
- [2] T. Haidegger. Copycats, paper tigers and serious competitors in telerobotic surgery. In *Proc. of the Workshop on Technology Transfer and Innovation in Robotic Surgery at the European Robotics Forum*, page invited talk, Lyon 2013.
- [3] J. Marescaux, J. Leroy, M. Gagner, F. Rubino, D. Mutter, M. Vix, S. E. Butner, and M.K. Smith. Transatlantic robot-assisted telesurgery. *Nature*, 413(6854):379–380, September 2001.
- [4] T. Haidegger, J. Sándor, and Z. Benyó. Surgery in space: the future of robotic telesurgery. *Surgical Endoscopy*, 25(3):681–690, 2011.
- [5] T. Haidegger and Z. Benyo. Surgical robotic support for long duration space missions. *Acta Astronautica*, 63:996–1005, 2008.
- [6] New Scientist Space and AFP. Doctors remove tumour in first zero-g surgery. Available: [http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn10169-doctors-remove-tumour-in-first-zero-g-surgery.html](http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn10169-doctors-remove-tumour-in-first-zero-g-surgery.html#.Uw2YUIXwoik) #.Uw2YUIXwoik, September 2006, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [7] K. Kamler. How I survived a zero-g robot operating room: Extreme surgeon. Available: Popular Mechanics, online edition - <http://www.popularmechanics.com/science/health/med-tech/4230102>, Accessed: Nov 2006.
- [8] P. P. Pott. Medical robotic database: MeRoDa. Available: <http://meroda.uni-hd.de>, 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [9] G.S. Virk and T. Haidegger. Classification guidelines for personal care robots – medical and non-medical applications. In *Proc. of the IEEE IROS Workshop on Safety in Human-Robot Coexistence & Interaction*, pages 33–36, 2012.
- [10] P. Kazanzides, G. Fichtinger, G. D. Hager, A. M. Okamura, L. L. Whitcomb, and R. H. Taylor. Surgical and interventional robotics: part I. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine (RAM)*, 15(2):122–130, 2008.
- [11] G. Fichtinger, P. Kazanzides, A. Okamura, G. D. M. Hager, L. L. Whitcomb, and R. H. Taylor. Surgical and interventional robotics: part II: surgical cad-cam systems. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine (RAM)*, 15(3):94–102, 2008.
- [12] D.M. Herron, M. Marohn, and SAGES-MIRA Robotic Surgery Consensus Group. A consensus document on robotic surgery. *Surgical Endoscopy*, 22(2):313–325, 2007.
- [13] J. Sándor, B. Lengyel, T. Haidegger, G. Saftics, G. Papp, Nagy Á, and G. Weber. Minimally invasive surgical technologies: Challenges in education and training. *Asian J. of Endoscopic Surgery*, 3(3):101–108, 2010.
- [14] P. Kazanzides, Z. Chen, A. Deguet, G. S. Fischer, R. H. Taylor, and S. P. DiMaio. An open-source research kit for the da vinci surgical system. In *Proc. of the IEEE Intl. Conf. on Robotics & Automation (ICRA)*, pages 6434–6439, 2014. Hong Kong.
- [15] R.H. Taylor, A. Menciassi, G. Fichtinger, and P. Dario. *Medical Robotics in Computer-Integrated Surgery*, B. Siciliano and O. Khatib (Eds.), pages 1199–1222. Springer, Ch. 66 in B. Siciliano and Khatib, Eds., *Handbook of Robotics*, 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA, 2008.
- [16] Intuitive Surgical Inc. History – da Vinci surgery is born. Available: http://www.intuitivesurgical.com/company/history/is_born.html, August 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [17] Intuitive Surgical Inc. History – the da Vinci system. Available: <http://www.intuitivesurgical.com/company/history/system.html>, August 2010. Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [18] Intuitive Surgical Inc. Investor presentation 4th quarter of 2014. Available: <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/External.File?item=UG-FyZW50SUQ9MTgyMjkxfgENoaWxkSUQ9LTF8VHlwZT0z&t=1>, March 2015, Accessed on 1 Apr 2015.
- [19] G. Borzellino, G. Fracastoro, A. Castelli, and Fiorini. Robotics in general surgery: personal experience, critical analysis and prospectives. *Chirurgia Italiana*, 57(6):687–693, 2005.
- [20] T. Haidegger. CIS news. Available: http://surgrob.blogspot.hu/2013_09_01_archive.html, September 2013. Accessed on 23 Dec 2014.
- [21] T. Haidegger. da vince usage numbers: success and failure. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.com/2010/07/da-vinci-usage-numbers-success-and.html>, July 2010. Accessed on 23 Dec 2014.
- [22] L.S. Jr Borden, P.M. Kozlowski, C.R. Porter, and J.M. Corman. Mechanical failure rate of da vinci robotic system. *Can J Urol*, 14(2):3499–3501, 2007.
- [23] K.C. Zorn, O.N. Gofrit, M.A. Orvieto, A.A. Mikhail, R.M. Galocy, A.L. Shalhav, and G.P. Zagaja. Da vinci robot error and failure rates: single institution experience on a single three-arm robot unit of more than 700 consecutive robot-assisted laparoscopic

- radical prostatectomies. *J Endourol*, 21(11):1341–1344, 2007.
- [24] È. Coste-Manière, D. Olender, W. Kilby, and R.A. Schulz. Robotic whole body stereotactic radiosurgery: clinical advantages of the cyberknife integrated system. *The Intl. J. of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery*, 1:28–39, 2006.
- [25] J. K. Chiu, S. Richardson, S. Chiang, R. Amato, B. Butler, and C. Bloch. Versatility of the novalis system to deliver image-guided stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) for various anatomical sites. *Technology in cancer research & treatment*, 6(4): 347–354, 2007.
- [26] B. Davies. A review of robotics in surgery. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: J. of Engineering in Medicine*, 214(1):129–140, 2000.
- [27] W.L. Bargar, A. Bauer, and M. Börner. Primary and revision total hip replacement using the ROBODOC system. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 354:82–91, 1998.
- [28] A.P. Schulz, K. Seide, C. Seide Queitsch, Queitsch von Haugwitz, J. von Haugwitz Meiners, B. Meiners Kienast, M. Kienast Tarabolsi, M. Tarabols Kammal, and C. Kamma Jurgens. Results of total hip replacement using the robodoc surgical assistant system: clinical outcome and evaluation of complications for 97 procedures. *The Intl. J. of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery*, 3(4):301–306, 2007.
- [29] T. Haidegger. Surgical Robot Prototyping – System Development, Assessment and Clearance by T. Sobh, X. Xiong (Eds.) *Prototyping of Robotic Systems: Applications of Design and Implementation*, chapter 10, pages 288–326. IGI Book, Bridgeport, CT, 2012. ISBN: 978-146-6601-76-5.
- [30] Hyundai Heavy Industry. ROBODOC news. Available: <http://english.yonhapnews.co.kr/techscience/2011/03/07/67/0601000000AEN20110307005900320F.HTML>, 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [31] T. Haidegger. Think surgical – ROBODOC relived. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.de/2014/12/think-surgical-robodoc-relived.html>, December 2014, Accessed on 6 Jan 2015.
- [32] Mazor Robotics Ltd. Renaissance – how it works. Available: <http://mazorrobotics.com/renaissance/how-it-works/>, 2013.
- [33] Given Imaging Ltd. What to expect from the pillcam SB procedure. Available: <http://www.givenimaging.com/en-us/Innovative-Solutions/Capsule-Endoscopy/Pillcam-SB/Patient-Resources/Pages/What-to-Expect-from-the-PillCam-SB-Procedure.aspx>, 2013.
- [34] Given Imaging Ltd. *PillCam Capsule Endoscopy - User Manual - RAPID v8.0*, March 2013.
- [35] F. Cosentino, E. Tumino, G.R. Passoni, A. Rigante, R. Barbera, A. Tauro, and P.E. Cosentino. *Robotic Colonscopy, Colonoscopy*, Paul Miskovitz (Ed.), chapter 18. InTech, 2011. Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/colonoscopy/robotic-colonoscopy>.
- [36] Era Endoscopy S.r.l. Endotics – products info. Available: <http://www.endotics.com/en/product-info>, 2010.
- [37] K. H. Kuck, A. Schaumann, L. Eckardt, S. Willems, R. Ventura, E. Delacrétaç, H.F. Pitschner, J. Kautzner, B. Schumacher, P. S. Hansen, and VTACH study group. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (vtach): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*, 375(9708):31–40, 2010.
- [38] Corindus Inc. How corpath robotic angioplasty works. Available: <http://www.corindus.com/about-corphath/how-corphath-works>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [39] Hansen Medical Inc. Sensei X – robotic catheter system. Available: <http://www.hansenmedical.com/international/products/docs/Hansen-Medical-Sensei%20X-Brochure-EU.pdf>, 2010.
- [40] Catheter Robotics Inc. Amigo in clinical use. Available: <http://www.catheterrobotics.com/images/AmigoBrochure.pdf>, 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [41] Stereotaxis. Experience a virtual walkthrough of stereotaxis capabilities. Available: <http://www.stereotaxis.com/physicians/the-lab/virtual-tour-us>, March 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [42] Freehand 2010 Ltd. The freehand system. Available: <http://www.freehandsurgeon.com/About>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [43] EndoControl. Viky. Available: http://www.endocontrol-medical.com/viky_ep.php, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [44] EndoControl. Viky motorized endoscope positioner. Available: <http://www.endocontrol-medical.com/docs/ViKYEP-A4-V2.1-WEB.pdf>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [45] Siemens Healthcare. Artis Zeego – the future is flexible – join the artis zeego club. online, December 2011.
- [46] Restoration Robotics Inc. Artas robotic system. Available: <http://restorationrobotics.com/artas-robotic-system/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [47] T. Perry. Robo-surgeon takes on baldness. Available: <http://spectrum.ieee.org/view-from-the-valley/robotics/medical-robots/robosurgeon-takes-on-baldness>, November 2014, Accessed on 24 Nov 2014.
- [48] OMNI life science Inc. Home – products – robotics & navigation. Available: <http://www.omnils.com/products/navigation>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.

- [49] Blue Belt Technologies Inc. NavioPFS. Available: <http://www.bluebeltech.com/products/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [50] C.J. Payne and G.Z. Yang. Hand-held medical robots. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 42(8):1594–1605, 2014.
- [51] Medtech. ROSA. Available: <http://medtech.fr/en/rosa1>, 2013, Accessed on 18 Nov 2014.
- [52] Medtech. ROSA – vielseitig einsetzbarer, robotisierter chirurgischer assistent für neurochirurgische eingriffe, 2014.
- [53] J. P. Wachs, M. Kölsch, H. Stern, and Y. Edan. Vision-based hand-gesture applications. *Communications of the ACM*, 54:60–71, 2011.
- [54] E. Venere. Future surgeons may use robotic nurse, ‘gesture recognition’. Available: <http://www.purdue.edu/newsroom/research/2011/110203WachsGestures.html>, February 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [55] T. Haidegger. Scrub nurse robots. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.hu/2013/04/scrub-nurse-robots.html>, April 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [56] M. Lysaght. Robotics: the future of minimally invasive heart surgery. Available: http://biomed.brown.edu/Courses/BI108/BI108_2000_Groups/Heart_Surgery/Robotics.html, 2000, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [57] A. R. Lanfranco, A. E. Castellanos, J. P. Desai, and W. C. Meyers. Robotic surgery: A current perspective. *Annals of Surgery*, 239, 2004.
- [58] B. M. Kraft, C. Jäger, K. Kraft, B. J. Leibl, and R. Bittner. The AESOP robot system in laparoscopic surgery: Increased risk or advantage for surgeon and patient? *Surgical Endoscopy And Other Interventional Techniques*, 18:1216–1223, 2004.
- [59] J. Marescaux and F. Rubino. The ZEUS robotic system: experimental and clinical applications. *Surgical Clinics of North America*, 83:1305–1315, 2003.
- [60] J. Marescaux and F. Rubino. Transcontinental Robot-Assisted Remote Telesurgery, Feasibility and Potential Applications. *Teleophthalmology*, 235:261–265, 2002.
- [61] T. Haidegger. Report on the historical Intuitive Surgical vs. Computer Motion case. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.de/2010/03/vintage-report-on-intuitive-vs-computer.html>, March 2010, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [62] M. S. Eljamel. *Robotic Applications in Neurosurgery, Medical Robotics, V. Bozovic (Ed.)*, chapter 5, pages 41–64. InTech, 2008.
- [63] R. A. Beasley. Medical robots: Current systems and research directions. *J. of Robotics*, 2012:14, 2012. Article No. 401613.
- [64] P. Kazanzides. Surgical robots and phantom (artifact) devices. Technical report, Engineering Research Center for Computer-Integrated Surgical Systems and Technology (CISST ERC), November 2006.
- [65] Imperial College London. The Probot – an introduction to the robotic hardware. Available: <http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/mechatronicsinmedicine/research/theprobot/robothardware>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [66] Imperial College London. The Probot – introduction to the prostate. Available: <http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/mechatronicsinmedicine/research/theprobot/prostateandmanualsurgery>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [67] Imperial College London. The Probot – prostate cutting sequence. Available: <http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/mechatronicsinmedicine/research/theprobot/prostatecuttingsequence>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [68] Imperial College London. The Probot – operational strategy. Available: <http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/mechatronicsinmedicine/research/theprobot/operationalstrategy>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [69] Intuitive Surgical Inc. Single-site da Vinci surgery. Available: http://www.intuitivesurgical.com/products/davinci_surgical_system/da-vinci-single-site/, December 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [70] T. Haidegger. Intuitive Surgical’s da Vinci Sp Single Port Robotic Surgical System. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.com/2014/04/intuitive-surgical-da-vinci-sp-single.html>, April 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [71] T. Haidegger. ALF-X Telelap getting commercial. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.de/2012/01/alf-x-telelap-getting-commercial.html>, January 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [72] G. Monticello, M. Morselli, and P. Fiorini. The development of the surgical robot Surgenius. In *World Robotics 2011*, pages 144–148, 2011.
- [73] Titan Medical Inc. Investor presentation. Available: <http://www.titanmedicalinc.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/Titan-Investor-Presentation-November-5-2013.pdf>, November 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [74] Medrobotics Corp. About us. Available: <http://www.medrobotics.com/company.html>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [75] Medrobotics Corp. Technology. Available: <http://www.medrobotics.com/technology.html>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [76] J. Rosen and B. Hannaford. Doc at a distance. *IEEE Spectrum*, 43(10):34–39, 2006.
- [77] E. Bauzano, V. F. Muñoz, and I. Garcia-Morales. Auto-guided movements on minimally invasive surgery for surgeon assistance. In *Proc. of the 2010 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 1843–1848, 2010.

- [78] H. Mayer, F. Gomez, D. Wierstra, I. Nagy, A. Knoll, and J. Schmidhuber. A system for robotic heart surgery that learns to tie knots using recurrent neural networks. *A System for Robotic Heart Surgery that Learns to Tie Knots Using Recurrent Neural Networks*, 22(13-14):1521–1537, 2008.
- [79] P. Garcia, J. Rosen, C. Kapoor, M. Noakes, G. Elbert, M. Treat, T. Ganous, M. Hanson, J. Manak, C. Hassler, D. Rohler, and R. Satava. Trauma pod: a semi-automated telerobotic surgical system. *The International J. of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery*, 5(2):136–146, 2009.
- [80] D. C. W. Friedman, J. Doshier, T. Kowalewski, J. Rosen, and B. Hannaford. Automated tool handling for the trauma pod surgical robot. In *Proc. of Robotics and Automation, IEEE Intl. Conference on*, pages 1936–1941, 2007.
- [81] T. Haidegger. Scrub nurse robots. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.com/2010/03/surgical-robotics-research-in-eu.html>, April 2010, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [82] HeartLander Surgical Inc. Robotic procedures on a beating heart. Available: <http://www.heartlander-surgical.com/>, 2008, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [83] C. Riviere, M.A. Zenati, D. Schwartzmann, and T. Ota. Heartlander – concept. Available: <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~heartlander/index.html>, 2008, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [84] J. Keller, C. Fibbe, F. Volke, J. Gerber, A. C. Mosse, M. Reimann-Zawadzki, E. Rabinovitz, P. Layer, D. Schmitt, V. Andresen, U. Rosien, and P. Swain. Inspection of the human stomach using remote-controlled capsule endoscopy: a feasibility study in healthy volunteers. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*, 73(1): 22 – 28, 2011.
- [85] P. Swain, A. Toor, F. Volke, J. Keller, J. Gerber, E. Rabinovitz, and R. I. Rothstein. Remote magnetic manipulation of a wireless capsule endoscope in the esophagus and stomach of humans. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*, 71(7):1290 – 1293, 2010.
- [86] J.F. Rey, H. Ogata, H. Hosoe, K. Ohtsuka, N. Ogata, K. Ikeda, H. Aihara, I. Pangtay, T. Hibi, S. Kudo, and H. Tajiri. Feasibility of stomach exploration with a guided capsule endoscope. *Endoscopy*, 42(7):541–545, 2010.
- [87] S. Yang, R. A. MacLachlan, and C. N. Riviere. Design and analysis of 6 dof handheld micromanipulator. In *Proc. of Robotics and Automation (ICRA) IEEE Intl. Conference on*, pages 1946–1951, 2012.
- [88] B. Gonenc, M.A. Balicki, J. Handa, P. Gehlbach, C.N. Riviere, R.H. Tylor, and I. Iordachita. Evaluation of a micro-force sensing handheld robot for vitreoretinal surgery. In *Proc. of IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 4125–4130, Vilamoura, 2012.
- [89] C.J. Payne, K-W. Kwok, and G-Z. Yang. An ungrounded hand-held surgical device incorporating active constraints with force-feedback. In *Proc. of Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on*, pages 2559–2565, Tokyo, 2013.
- [90] J. Shang, D.P. Noonan, C. Payne, J. Clark, M.H. Sodergren, A. Darzi, and G. Z. Yang. An articulated universal joint based flexible access robot for minimally invasive surgery. In *Proc. of IEEE Int Conf. on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 1147–1152, Shanghai, 2011.
- [91] A. H. Zahraee, J.K. Paik, J. Szewczyk, and G. Morel. Toward the development of a hand-held surgical robot for laparoscopy. *IEEE/ASME Trans. on Mechatronics*, 15(6):853–861, 2010.
- [92] M.W. Gilbertson and B.W. Anthony. Ergonomic control strategies for a handheld force-controlled ultrasound probe. In *Proc. of IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 1284–1291, Vilamoura, 2012.
- [93] S. G. Yuen, D. P. Perrin, N. V. Vasilyev, P. J. del Nido, and R. D. Howe. Force tracking with feed-forward motion estimation for beating heart surgery. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 26(5):888–896, 2010.
- [94] X. He, M.A. Balicki, J.U. Kang, P.L. Gehlbach, J.T. Handa, R.H. Taylor, and I.I. Iordachita. Force sensing micro-forceps with integrated fiber bragg grating for vitreoretinal surgery. In *Proc. SPIE 8218, Optical Fibers and Sensors for Medical Diagnostics and Treatment Applications XII*, volume 8218, pages 82180W–82180W–7, 2012.
- [95] C.J. Payne, W.T. Latt, and G-Z. Yang. A new hand-held force-amplifying device for micromanipulation. In *Proc. of Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2012 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 1583–1588, St. Paul, MW, 2012.
- [96] C.J. Payne, H. Rafii-Tari, and G-Z. Yang. A force feedback system for endovascular catheterisation. In *Proc. of Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2012 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*, pages 1298–1304, Vilamoura, 2012.
- [97] Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH), Office of Surveillance and Biometrics (OSB), Medical Product Safety Network (MedSun), and FDA. Small Sample Survey – final report. topic: da Vinci Surgical System. Available: <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/medicaldevices/productsandmedicalprocedures/surgeryandlifesupport/computerassistedroboticsurgicalsystems/ucm374095.pdf>, November 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [98] KUKA. Advanced medical robotics – lightweight robotics. Available: http://www.kuka-labs.com/en/medical_robotics/lightweight_robotics, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [99] GD Hager, AM Okamura, P Kazanzides, LL Whitcomb, G Fichtinger, and R.H. Taylor. Surgical

- and interventional robotics: part III. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine (RAM)*, 15(4):84–93, 2008.
- [100] G. Fichtinger, E. Atalar, L.L. Whitcomb, R.C. Susil, A. Tanacs, and A. Krieger. U.s. patent (no. 20120310112 a1): Apparatus for insertion of a medical device during a medical imaging process, 2012.
- [101] A. Krieger, S. Sang-Eun, N. Bonjoon Cho, I.I. Iordachita, P. Guion, G. Fiohtinger, and L.L. Whitcomb. Development and evaluation of an actuated mri-compatible robotic system for mri-guided prostate intervention. *Mechatronics, IEEE/ASME Transactions on*, 18(1):273–284, 2013.
- [102] G.A. Cole, K. Harrington, H. Su, A. Camilo, J.G. Pilitsis, and G.S. Fischer. Closed-loop actuated surgical system utilizing real-time in-situ mri guidance. *Experimental Robotics*, pages 785–798, 2014.
- [103] D. Allen. Positioning and the surgical robot. *Surgical Products*, Jan./Feb., 2013.
- [104] T. Haidegger, Z. Benyó, and P. Kazanzides. Patient motion tracking in the presence of measurement errors. In *Proc. of the Annual Intl. Conf. of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, pages 5563–5567, Minneapolis, MN, 2009.
- [105] P. Galambos. Vibrotactile feedback for haptics and telemanipulation: Survey, concept and experiment. *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica*, 9(1):41–65, 2012.
- [106] P. Galambos, T. Haidegger, P. Zentay, J. K. Tar, P. Pausits and I. J. Rudas. Robotics applications based on merged physical and virtual reality. In *Proc. of the IEEE Epistemological issues in robotics research and research result evaluation workshop at IEEE ICRA, Hong Kong*, pages 19–22, 2014.
- [107] T. Ungi, D. Sargent, E. Moul, A. Lasso, Cs. Pinter, R. C. McGraw, and Gabor F. PERK Tutor: an open-source training platform for ultrasound-guided needle insertions. *IEEE Trans. on Biomedical Engineering*, 59(12):3475–3481, 2013.
- [108] N. Suhanszki and T. Haidegger. Objective surgery–advanced robotic devices and simulators used for surgical skill assessment (in Hungarian). *Hungarian J. of Surgery*, 67:340–352, 2014.
- [109] A.A. Navarro, A. Hernansanz, E.A. Villarraga, X. Giralt, and J. Aranda. Enhancing perception in minimally invasive robotic surgery through self-calibration of surgical instruments. In *In Proc. of the 29th IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Conf.*, pages 457–460, August 2007.
- [110] F. Jelinek, R. Pessers, and P. Breedveld. Dragonflex smart steerable laparoscopic instrument. *J. of Medical Devices*, 8(1), 2014.
- [111] IBM. Watson solutions – healthcare. Available: <http://www.ibm.com/smarterplanet/us/en/ibm-watson/work.html>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [112] S. Leonard, K.L. Wu, Y. Kim, A. Krieger, and P.C.W. Kim. Smart tissue anastomosis robot (star): A vision-guided robotics system for laparoscopic suturing. *IEEE Trans. on Biomedical Engineering*, 61(4):1305–1317, 2014.
- [113] F. Ullrich, S. Schuerle, R. Pieters, A. Dishy, S. Michels, and B.J. Nelson. Automated capsulorhexis based on a hybrid magnetic-mechanical actuation system. *IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 4387–4392, 2014.
- [114] A.M. Franz, T. Haidegger, W. Birkfellner, K. Cleary, T.M. Peters, and L. Maier-Hein. Electromagnetic tracking in medicine – a review of technology, validation and applications. *Medical Imaging, IEEE Transactions on*, 33(99):1702–1725, 2014.
- [115] B.J. Nelson, I.K. Kaliakatsos, and J.J. Abbott. Microrobots for minimally invasive medicine. *Annual review of biomedical engineering*, 12:55–85, 2010.
- [116] Siemens Healthcare. Magnetically guided capsule endoscope system from siemens and olympus for comfortable examination of the stomach - more than 50 participants in the first successful study. Available: <http://www.siemens.com/press/en/pressrelease/?press=en/pressrelease/2010/healthcare/h20101001.htm>, October 2010, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [117] P. Valdastrì, C. Quaglia, E. Buselli, A. Arezzo, N. di Lorenzo, M. Morino, A. Menciassi, and P. Dario. A magnetic internal mechanism for precise orientation of the camera in wireless endoluminal applications. *Endoscopy*, 42(6):481–486, 2009.
- [118] P. Vartholomeos, M. Fruchard, A. Ferreira, and Mavroidis C. MRI-Guided Robotic Nanocapsules: A Review Study. *Annual Reviews of Biomedical Engineering*, 13:157–187, 2011.
- [119] E. Ackerman. Robotic micro-scallops can swim through your eyeballs. Available: <http://spectrum.ieee.org/automaton/robotics/medical-robots/robotic-microscallops-can-swim-through-your-eyeballs>, November 2014, Accessed on 26 Nov 2014.
- [120] F. Tobe. Medical robotic systems market to reach \$13.6 billion by 2018. Available: <http://robohub.org/medical-robotic-systems-market-to-reach-13-6-billion-by-2018/>, September 2013, Accessed on 5 Jan 2015.
- [121] euRobotics aisbl. Robotics 2020 – strategic research agenda for robotics in europe. Available: www.eu-robotics.net/cms/upload/PDF/SRA2020_0v42b_Printable.pdf, October 2013, Accessed on 17 Dec 2014.
- [122] euRobotics aisbl. Robotics 2020 – multi-annual roadmap for robotics in europe. Available: http://www.eu-robotics.net/cms/upload/PDF/Multi-Annual_Roadmap_2020_Call_1_Initial_Release.pdf, January 2014, Accessed on 17 Dec 2014.
- [123] Georgia Institute of Technology, Carnegie Mellon University, Robotics Technology Consortium,

- University of Pennsylvania, University of Southern California, Stanford University, University of California Berkeley, University of Washington, and Massachusetts Institute of Technology. A roadmap for u.s. robotics – from internet to robotics. Available: <https://robotics-vo.us/sites/default/files/2013%20Robotics%20Roadmap-rs.pdf>, 2013, Accessed on 17 Dec 2014.
- [124] G.R. Gilbert. Big bear: A disruptive process for developing disruptive robotic technologies. In *NDIA Disruptive Technology Conference*, 2008.
- [125] Catheter Robotics Inc. Recent news. Available: <http://www.catheterrobotics.com/news.htm>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [126] Restoration Robotics Inc. History. Available: <http://restorationrobotics.com/company/history/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [127] B. McGrath. Robotic arm to aid in heart surgeries in trenton. *The Times of Trenton*, March 2014, Accessed on 18 Nov 2014.
- [128] ZOLL Medical Corp. Autopulse non-invasive cardiac support pump. Available: <http://www.zoll.com/medical-products/cardiac-support-pump/autopulse/>, 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [129] ZOLL Medical Corp. Milestones in history – major events and corporate milestones. Available: <http://www.zoll.com/about-zoll/corporate-milestones/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [130] A. Knapp. FDA approves robotic system to open your arteries. *Forbes*, 2012, Accessed on 18 Nov 2014.
- [131] Accuray Inc. CyberKnife – Motion Management. Available: <http://www.accuray.com/solutions/treatment-delivery/cyberknife-treatment-delivery/motion-management>, 2013, Accessed on 14 Nov 2013.
- [132] Accuray Inc. Cyberknife M6 series – technical specifications, 2013.
- [133] Accuray Inc. Solutions. Available: <http://www.accuray.com/solutions>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [134] Accuray Inc. CyberKnife – reimbursement information. Available: <http://www.cyberknife.com/reimbursement-insurance/index.aspx>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [135] S. C. Hoffelt. Gamma Knife vs. CyberKnife. *Oncology Issues*, September/October:18–20, 2006.
- [136] Accuray Inc. FAQ. Available: <http://www.cyberknife.com/faq/index.aspx>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [137] Intuitive Surgical Inc. Products. Available: <http://intuitivesurgical.com/products/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [138] Intuitive Surgical Inc. da Vinci surgical system (standard). Available: http://www.intuitivesurgical.com/products/davinci_surgical_system/davinci_surgical_system_standard/, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [139] J. Laskaris and K. Regan. Soft tissue robotics – the next generation. *md buyline*, VII:1–13, June 2014.
- [140] Freehand 2010 Ltd. Freehand – the new laparoscopic camera controller. online – available: <http://www.freehandsurgeon.com/images/downloads/Freehand%20Leaflet%20WEB.pdf>, 2013.
- [141] Elektra A.B. Leksell gamma knife perfexion. Available: <http://ssl.folioarchive.com/sendbinary2.aspx?customerId=476&sKey=45ETMKVK&imageid=479&tp=6M>, Accessed on 17 Apr 2015.
- [142] Invendo medical. Invendo medical closes €20m equity capital funding led by xeraya capital. Available: http://www.invendo-medical.com/content/company_information/news_events/invendo_medical_closes_20m_equity_capital_funding_led_by_xeraya_capital/index_eng.html, March 2014, Accessed on 7 Jan 2015.
- [143] iSYS Medizintechnik GmbH. Company – history – technical background. Available: http://www.isys.co.at/isys/cms_extract/l27803b27803/technical_background.html, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [144] iSYS Medizintechnik GmbH. Products – iSYS 1 for CT – workflow. Available: http://www.isys.co.at/isys/cms_extract/l29710b29710/workflow.html, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [145] iSYS Medizintechnik GmbH. Products – iSYS 1 for CT – key features. Available: http://www.isys.co.at/isys/cms_extract/l29708b29708/key_features.html, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [146] T. Haidegger. The iSYS robot, descendant of the B-ROBs. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.de/2011/05/isys-robot-descendant-of-b-robs.html>, May 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [147] Cambridge Endoscopic Devices Inc. Autonomy laparo-angle articulating instrument technology: Enabling visualization, access & control in single port laparoscopy. Available: <http://www.cambridgeendo.com/technology>, 2012, Accessed on 18 Nov 2014.
- [148] Cambridge Endoscopic Devices Inc. Autonomy lapro-angle articulating laparoscopic instruments granted ce mark – leader in single port access surgery achieves another milestone. Available: <http://www.cambridgeendo.com/news/9-news4>, 2008, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [149] Integrated Medical Systems Inc. Products – LS-1. Available: <http://www.lstat.com/Content/Products.html>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [150] Integrated Medical Systems Inc. Integrated medical systems receives FDA clearance for world's first "suitcase" intensive care unit. Available: <http://www.lstat.com/PressReleases/news-View7217.html?NewsId=5>, October 2008, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [151] Integrated Medical Systems Inc. Integrated medical systems receives CE mark for world's first "suitcase"

- intensive care unit. Available: <http://www.lstat.com/PressReleases/news-View20090901.html?NewsId=9>, September 2009, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [152] Perfint Healthcare Pvt. Ltd. MAXIO features. Available: <http://www.perfinthealthcare.com/MaxioFeatures.asp>, 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [153] T. Haidegger. The Indian MAXIO system. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.hu/2012/08/the-indian-maxio-system.html>, August 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [154] The Hindu BusinessLine. Perfint's cancer surgery device to enter us market. Available: <http://www.thehindubusinessline.com/news/states/perfints-cancer-surgery-device-to-enter-us-market/article6050340.ece>, May 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [155] Blue Belt Technologies Inc. Scientific advisory board. Available: <http://www.bluebelttech.com/about-bbt/scientific-advisory-board/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [156] Renishaw plc. Neuromate stereotactic robot. Available: <http://www.renishaw.com/en/neuromate-stereotactic-robot--10712>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [157] Stereotaxis. Disposables. Available: <http://www.stereotaxis.com/physicians/the-lab/components/disposables>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [158] Stereotaxis. Niobe es. Available: <http://www.stereotaxis.com/physicians/the-lab/components/niobe-es>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [159] Stereotaxis. Stereotaxis receives FDA clearance of Vdrive with V-Sono system. Available: <http://ir.stereotaxis.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=179896&p=irol-newsArticle&ID=1842118&highlight=>, July 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [160] BrainLab AG. Treatment steps. Available: <http://www.novalis-radiosurgery.com/patient-center/treatment-steps/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [161] BrainLab AG. FAQs. Available: <http://www.novalis-radiosurgery.com/patient-center/faqs/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [162] Given Imaging Ltd. Given imaging receives fda clearance for pillcam colon in patients following incomplete colonoscopy. Available: <http://www.givenimaging.com/en-us/Innovative-Solutions/Capsule-Endoscopy/pillcam-colon/Pages/COLON-Press-release.aspx>, February 2014, Accessed on 5 Jan 2015.
- [163] Given Imaging Ltd. PillCam COLON – resources for healthcare professionals. Available: <http://www.givenimaging.com/en-us/Innovative-Solutions/Capsule-Endoscopy/pillcam-colon/Pages/Resources-for-Healthcare-Professionals.aspx>, 2015, Accessed on 5 Jan 2015.
- [164] Mazor Robotics Ltd. Renaissance. Available: <http://mazorrobotics.com/renaissance/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [165] Mazor Robotics Ltd. Home. Available: <http://mazorrobotics.com/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [166] L. Joskowicz, R.R. Shamir, Z. Israel, Y. Shosdan, and M. Shosdan. Renaissance robotic system for keyhole cranial neurosurgery: in-vitro accuracy study. *Simposio Mexicano en Cirugía Asistida por Computadora y Procesamiento de Imágenes Médicas*, XII:3, 2011.
- [167] MAKO Surgical Corp. Mako surgical corp. announces definitive agreement to be acquired by stryker corporation for \$30 per share in cash. Available: <http://ir.makosurgical.com/releasedetail.cfm?ReleaseID=793172>, September 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [168] MAKO Surgical Corp. Rio robotic arm interactive orthopedic system. Available: <http://www.makosurgical.com/physicians/products/rio>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [169] MAKO Surgical Corp. Corporate fact sheet. Available: http://www.makosurgical.com/assets/files/Company/newsroom/Corporate_Fact_Sheet_208578r00.pdf, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [170] MAKO wiki. MAKO Surgical Corp. Available: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MAKO_Surgical_Corp., 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [171] MassDevice. Will the Stryker—Mako deal lead to more action in ortho? Available: <http://www.massdevice.com/blogs/massdevice/will-stryker-mako-deal-lead-more-action-ortho?page=2>, September 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [172] Curexo Technology Corp. About - faqs. Available: http://www.robodoc.com/pro_about_faqs.html, 2013, Accessed on 18 Nov 2014.
- [173] S. Cicchini. Robodoc. Available: http://www.pages.drexel.edu/~gn52/MEDICAL_ROBOTICS/Downloads_files/ROBODOC.pdf, 2010, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [174] P. Kazanzides. Medical robots and their control paradigms. Available: http://www3.nd.edu/~pantsakl/WolovichSymposium/files/Kazanzides_Presentation.pdf, 2008, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [175] Medtech. ROSA – installierte systeme, 2014.
- [176] Tuebingen Scientific Medical GmbH. Raduis T surgical system. Available: <http://www.tuebingenscientific.com/Standard/produktnavigation/radius-t/>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [177] Tuebingen Scientific Medical GmbH. r2 DRIVE – summary. Available: <http://www.tuebingenscientific.com/Standard/produktnavigation/drive/zusammenfassung/>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [178] InTouch Technologies Inc. PR-7i robot. Available: <http://www.intouchhealth.com/products-and->

- services/products/rp-7i-robot/, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [179] InTouch Technologies Inc. Intouch health receives FDA clearance for the RP-VITA remote presence robot. Available: <http://www.intouchhealth.com/in-the-news/press-releases/01-08-2013/>, January 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [180] G. Ostrovsky. Long distance surgical training with RP-7 robot. *MedGadget*, 2007.
- [181] Hansen Medical Inc. Sensei X robotic system – product info. Available: <http://www.hansenmedical.com/international/products/ep/sensei-robotic-catheter-system.php>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [182] Hansen Medical Inc. Company profile. Available: <http://www.hansenmedical.com/company/profile.php>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [183] AKTORmed GmbH. Soloassist – robotic camera control. Available: <http://www.aktormed.info/en/products/soloassist-en>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [184] ISIS. SurgiScope Solution. Available: <http://www.isis-robotics.com/en/surgiscope-solution.html>, 2014, Accessed on 2 Oct 2015.
- [185] S. Lollis and D. Roberts. Robotic placement of a cns ventricular reservoir for administration of chemotherapy. *British J. of Neurosurgery*, 23(5):516–520, 2009.
- [186] EndoControl. Press kit. Available: http://www.endocontrol-medical.com/press_release/PRESS_KIT_ENDOCONTROL_V1.2-EN.pdf, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [187] J.M. Gilbert. The endoassist robotic camera holder as an aid to the introduction of laparoscopic colorectal surgery. *Annals of The Royal College of Surgeons of England*, 91(5):389–393, 2009.
- [188] Armstrong Healthcare Ltd. 510(k) summary. Available: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf4/K043284.pdf, 2005, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [189] Johnson and Johnson Inc. Johnson & johnson announces completion of synthes acquisition. Available: <http://www.investor.jnj.com/releasedetail.cfm?ReleaseID=683098>, July 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [190] A. Melzer, B. Gutmann, T. Remmele, R. Wolf, A. Lukoscheck, M. Bock, H. Bardenheuer, and H. Fischer. INNOMOTION for percutaneous image-guided interventions. *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine*, 27:66–73, 2008.
- [191] C. W. Burckhardt, P. Fluty, and D. Glauser. Stereotactic brain surgery. *IEEE ENGINEERING IN MEDICINE AND BIOLOGY Magazine*, May/June: 314–317, 1995.
- [192] K. Au, S. Cho, B. Dong, S. Gorkhali, S. Lee, and J. Leung. Neurosurgery. Available: http://biomed.brown.edu/Courses/BI108/BI108_2005_Groups/04/neurology.html, 2005, Accessed on 6 Oct 2015.
- [193] P. Willems, H. Noordmans, J. Berkelbach van der Sprenkel, M. Viergever, and C. Tulleken. An mkm-mounted instrument holder for frameless point-stereotactic procedures: a phantom-based accuracy evaluation. *J. of Neurosurgery*, 95(6):1067–1074, 2001.
- [194] P. S. Morgan, T. Carter, S. Davis, A. Sepehri, J. Punt, P. Byrne, A. Moody, and P. Finlay. The application accuracy of the pathfinder neurosurgical robot. *Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery (CARS) 2003*, 1256:561 – 567, 2003.
- [195] P. Finlay. Pathfinder was discontinued. Answer to the authors question on the fate of the *Pathfinder* system.
- [196] Medical Robotics. The PinTrace method. online, August 2010, Accessed on 18 Nov 2014.
- [197] Imperial College London. The Probot. Available: <http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/mechatronicsinmedicine/research/theprobot>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [198] MAKO Surgical Corp. Mako surgical corp. and stanmore implants worldwide ltd. announce mako’s purchase of stanmore sculptor robotic guidance arm. Available: <http://ir.makosurgical.com/releasedetail.cfm?ReleaseID=756741>, April 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [199] Stanmore Implants Ltd. FDA clears sculptor robotic guidance arm for unicompartmental knee replacement surgery. Available: <http://www.stanmoreimplants.com/press-release-fda-clears-sculptor-robotic-guidance-arm.php>, February 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [200] M. Lysaght. da Vinci surgical system. Available: http://biomed.brown.edu/Courses/BI108/BI108_2005_Groups/04/davinci.html, 2005, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [201] Sofar S.p.A. ALF-X. Available: <http://www.alf-x.com>, 2013.
- [202] Elektra A.B. Leksell gamma knife perfexion. Available: <http://ssl.folioarchive.com/sendbinary2.aspx?customerId=476&sKey=45ETMKVK&imageid=479&tp=6M>, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [203] Meerecompany. Surgical robot – Eterne. Available: http://www.meerecompany.com/en/product/surgical_02.asp, 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [204] Meerecompany. Surgical robot – introduction. Available: http://www.meerecompany.com/en/product/surgical_01.asp, 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [205] R. Taylor, J. Lordachita, J. Handa, P. Gehlbach, P. Kazanzides, M. Balicki, X. He, A. Uneri, D. Roppe-necker, and D. Gierlach. Steady-hand eye robot. Available: <https://ciis.lcsr.jhu.edu/dokuwiki/>

- doku.php?id=research.eyerobots, November 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [206] BioRobotics Laboratory – Carnegie Mellon. Medical snake robot. Available: <http://biorobotics.ri.cmu.edu/robots/medSnake.html>, 2008, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [207] H. Choset, M. A. Zenati, T. Ota, A. Degani, D. Schwartzman, B. Zubiati, and C. Wright. Enabling medical robotics for the next generation of minimally invasive procedures: Minimally invasive cardiac surgery with single port access. *Surgical Robotics – System Applications and Visions*, pages 257–270, 2011.
- [208] Medrobotics Corp. Company prepares for european commercialization of the ground-breaking Flex system in otolaryngology. Available: <http://www.medrobotics.com/cemark.html>, March 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [209] T. Haidegger. The IBIS robot from japan. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.hu/2013/07/ibis-robot-from-japan.html>, November 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [210] Biobot Surgical Pte Ltd. iSR’obot Mona Lisa – robotic prostate biopsy via 2 perineal skin punctures. Available: <http://www.biobotsurgical.com/UploadedImg/files/Biobot%20Brochure.pdf>, 2014, Accessed on 5 Jan 2015.
- [211] Biobot Surgical Pte Ltd. Products – iSR’obot Mona Lisa. Available: <http://www.biobotsurgical.com/product/NonCate/iSRobot-Mona-Lisa>, 2014, Accessed on 5 Jan 2015.
- [212] C. Kang, S. Prince, and C. Lim. Telesurgical/telementoring laparoscopic surgical robot (laparobot). Available: <http://www.maclab.seas.ucla.edu/laparobot.shtml>, 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [213] T. Haidegger. No-scar surgery with the MASTER robot. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.com/2011/08/no-scar-surgery-with-master-robot.html>, August 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [214] H. Wanga, S. Wanga, J. Dinga, and H. Luoa. Suturing and tying knots assisted by a surgical robot system in laryngeal mis. *Robotica*, 28:241–252, 2009.
- [215] T. Haidegger. Micro Hand A – China’s bet in robotic surgery. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.hu/2011/09/micro-hand-chinas-bet-in-robotic.html>, September 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [216] M. Mitsuishi, D. Asai, S. Baba, S. Warisawa, A. Morita, S. Sora, T. Kirino, and R. Mochizuki. Micro-neurosurgical system in the deep surgical field, 2005.
- [217] Neurostar. StereoDrive: Motorized stereotaxic. Available: http://www.neurostar.de/index.php?option=com_content&view=category&layout=blog&id=35&Itemid=149, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [218] Neurostar. Motorized stereotaxic. online – available: http://www.neurostar.de/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=83:stereodrive&catid=35:stereotaxic&Itemid=154, 2013.
- [219] T. Haidegger. Scrub nurse robots. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.hu/2013/04/scrub-nurse-robots.html>, April 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [220] Robotic System and Technologies Inc. Penelope CS. Available: <http://www.roboticsystech.com/products/penelopeCS.html>, 2010, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [221] K. Rohr, M. Ulanecka, and M. Jakubowski. Robin heart. Available: <http://robinheart.pl/index.php/en/robinheart>, 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [222] Z. Nawrat and P. Kostka. Polish cardio-robot “Robin Heart” system description and technical evaluation. *The international J. of Medical Robotics and Computer assisted Surgery*, 2:36–44, March 2006.
- [223] T. Haidegger. Robin Heart from Poland. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.de/2013/11/robin-heart-from-poland.html>, November 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [224] RF Corp. Ltd. RF: The next generation capsule endoscope. Available: <http://www.rfsystemlab.com/en/sayaka/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [225] L. Picker. Given pillcam seen winning FDA approval in ‘13: Israel overnight. *Bloomberg Businessweek*, 2013.
- [226] Titan Medical Inc. Titan Medical Inc. announces Dr. Dennis Fowler as director of clinical affairs and the completion of a regulatory approval process plan. Available: <http://www.titanmedicalinc.com/titan-medical-inc-announces-dr-dennis-fowler-as-director-of-clinical-affairs-and-the-completion-of-a-regulatory-approval-process-plan-2/>, September 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [227] T. Haidegger. Amadeus Composer and Maestro. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.de/2011/09/amadeus-composer-and-maestro.html>, March 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [228] Titan Medical Inc. Product. Available: <http://www.titanmedicalinc.com/product/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [229] Titan Medical Inc. Key milestones. Available: <http://www.titanmedicalinc.com/milestones/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [230] Titan Medical Inc. Company description. Available: <http://www.titanmedicalinc.com/company/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [231] B. DeSmidt. A better, cheaper surgical robot on the way? Available: <http://www.advisory.com/research/service-line-strategy-advisor/the-pipeline/2013/06/a-better-cheaper-surgical-robot-on-the-way>, June 2013, Accessed on 14 Nov 17.

- [232] Titan Medical Inc. Investor presentation november 2014. Available: <http://www.titanmedicalinc.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Titan-Investor-Presentation-November-2014-v2.pdf>, November 2014, Accessed on 24 Nov 2014.
- [233] Surgica Robotica S.p.A. Surgical robotic solutions. Available: <http://www.surgicarobotica.com/company.html>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [234] M. Morselli. LinkedIn – Massimo Morselli. Available: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/massimomorselli>, 2013, Accessed on 18 Nov 2014.
- [235] A. Mencia, E. Troia, and P. Dario. R&D in Italy, issues for commercializing medical robots. In *International Workshop on Medical Robotics*, 2012.
- [236] TransEnterix Surgical Inc. SurgiBot. Available: <http://www.transenterix.com/SurgiBot.php>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [237] Triangle BizBlog – J. deBruyn. Transenterix charts course to build surgical robots. Available: <http://www.bizjournals.com/triangle/blog/2014/04/transenterix-charts-course-to-build-surgical-robots.html>, April 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [238] F. Vinluan. WRAL TechWire – transenterix’s ‘surgibot’ could expand robotic surgery market. Available: <http://wraltechwire.com/transenterix-surgibot-could-expand-robotic-surgery-market/12851260/>, September 2013, Accessed on 27 Nov 2014.
- [239] Axilum Robotics. Healthcare professionals – TMS-robot presentation. Available: <http://www.axilumrobotics.com/en/product.php>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [240] T. Haidegger. Axilum’s TMS robot. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.hu/2013/11/axilums-tms-robot.html>, November 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [241] Axilum Robotics. Axilum robotics granted CE mark for TMS – robot, the world’s first robot developed specifically for transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS). Available: http://www.axilumrobotics.com/pdf/PR_Axilum_Robotics_TMS_Robot_CE_mark_2013-10-10_EN.pdf, October 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [242] CORDIS. EU research projects – active constraints technologies for ill-defined or volatile environments. Available: http://cordis.europa.eu/projects/rcn/97338_en.html, October 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [243] ACTIVE Consortium. Scenarios. Available: <http://www.active-fp7.eu/index.php/scenarios>, April 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [244] ACTIVE Consortium. Active project flyer. Available: www.active-fp7.eu/index.php/documentation/doc_download/737-active-brochure, May 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [245] KBmedical. Products. Available: <http://www.kbmedical.com/?q=products/systems>, 2014, Accessed on 24 Feb 2015.
- [246] S. Kostrzewski, J.M. Duff, C. Baur, and M. Olszewski. Robotic system for cervical spine surgery. *The International J. of Medical Robotics*, 8(2):184–190, June 2012, Accessed on 24 Feb 2015.
- [247] AVRA Surgical Robotics Inc. About avra surgical robotics inc. Available: <http://allaboutroboticsurgery.com/avrasurgicalrobotics.html>, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [248] AEON SCIENTIFIC AG. Cmag. Available: <http://www.aeon-scientific.com/product>, 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [249] CASCADE Consortium. Objectives. Available: <http://www.cascade-fp7.eu/about/objectives>, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [250] X. Zhang, A. Lehman, C.A. Nelson, S.M. Farritor, and D. Oleynikov. Cooperative robotic assistant for laparoscopic surgery: Cobrasurge. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2009., pages 5540–5545, Oct 2009.
- [251] C. Riviere, M.A. Zenati, D. Schwartzmann, and T. Ota. Heartlander – design. Available: <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~heartlander/design.html>, 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [252] M. Gannon. Robot detects breast cancer with space-grade tech. *livescience*, available: <http://www.livescience.com/40939-breast-cancer-space-robot.html>, 2013, Accessed on 18 Nov 2014.
- [253] T. Haidegger. The i-Snake robot. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.fr/2012/12/the-i-snake-robot.html>, December 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [254] SickKids. SickKids receives \$10 million in funding to support medical research and the development of kidsarm. Available: <http://www.sickkids.ca/AboutSickKids/Newsroom/Past-News/2010/KidsArm.html>, March 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [255] CIGITI. Kidsarm – next generation surgical robotic system. Available: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7reH6O36m30>, 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [256] SRI International. M7 surgical robot. Available: <http://www.sri.com/engage/products-solutions/m7-surgical-robot>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [257] A. Morita, S. Sora, M. Mitsuishi, S. Warisawa, K. Suruman, D. Asai, J. Arata, S. Baba, H. Takahashi, R. Mochizuki, and T. Kirino. Microsurgical robotic system for the deep surgical field: development of a prototype and feasibility studies in animal and cadaveric models. *J. of Neurosurgery*, 103:320–327, August 2005.
- [258] C. Riviere. Micron: An active tremor-canceling microsurgical instrument. Available: [38 Int J Adv Robot Syst, 2015, 12:61 | doi: 10.5772/60133](http://medro-</p>
</div>
<div data-bbox=)

- botics.ri.cmu.edu/node/128449, 2014, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [259] T. Haidegger. Univ. Maryland's maggot-like brain robots. Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.hu/2013/12/univ-marylands-maggot-like-brain-robots.html>, December 2013.
- [260] U.A. Hagn, Dr.G. Passig, and R. Konietzschke. MiroSurge – telemanipulation in minimally invasive surgery. Available: http://www.dlr.de/rm/en/desktopdefault.aspx/tabid-3835/6288_read-9047/, 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [261] M. Muntener, A. Patriciu, D.M. Doru Petrisor, H. Bagga, L. Kavoussi, K. Cleary, and D. Stoianovici. Magnetic resonance imaging compatible robotic system for fully automated brachytherapy seed placement. *Adult Urology*, 68:1310–1317, December 2006.
- [262] Johns Hopkins Medicine – Urology Robotics. MrBot: The first fully-actuated MRI robot. Available: <http://urobotics.urology.jhu.edu/projects/MrBot/>, 2011, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [263] D. Oleynikov. Miniature robots for laparoscopic surgery. Available: <http://www.engineering.unl.edu/research/robots/medical.shtml>, Accessed: May 2013.
- [264] TU/e. Fast high precision eye-surgery robot helps ophthalmologists. Available: <http://www.tue.nl/en/university/news-and-press/news/27-10-2011-snelle-precieze-oogchirurgierobot/>, October 2011. Last accessed: 2013.
- [265] BioRobotics Laboratory – University of Washington. Raven: A surgical robot system for open and minimally invasive surgery. Available: <http://brl.ee.washington.edu/laboratory/node/26>, 2012, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [266] B. Hannaford and J. Rosen. *Raven II Research Surgical Robot*, January 2013.
- [267] M. Markovich. UW robot featured in sci-fi thriller 'ender's game'. *KOMOnews.com*, November 2013, Accessed on 18 Nov 2014.
- [268] KU Leuven. Robotic eye surgery. Available: <https://www.mech.kuleuven.be/en/pma/research/ras/researchtopics/robotic-eye-surgery>, 2014, Accessed on 7 Jan 2015.
- [269] TU/e. Sofie. Available: <http://www.tue.nl/en/research/research-institutes/top-research-groups/robotics-research/projects/sofie/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [270] Rob Surgical Systems S.L., Bitrack System, Available: <http://robsurgical.com/bitrack.html>, Accessed: on 10 Oct 2014.
- [271] T. Haidegger, BIT, Córdoba -- a new Spanish surgical robot system, Available: <http://surgrob.blogspot.de/2015/03/bit-cordoba-new-spanish-surgical-robot.html>, Accessed on 17 Apr 2015.
- [272] G.R. Sutherland, S. Wolfsberger, S. Lama, and K. Zarei-nia. The evolution of neuroArm. *Neurosurgery*, 72:27–32, 2013.
- [273] Health Research Innovation Centre. The future of neurosurgery. here's how we're getting there. Available: <http://www.neuroarm.org/project/>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [274] Health Research Innovation Centre. The first case. Available: <http://www.neuroarm.org/images/first-case/>, May 2008, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [275] SeekingAlpha. IMRIS: An undervalued and misunderstood bet on minimally invasive surgery robotics. Available: <http://www.nasdaq.com/article/imris-an-undervalued-and-misunderstood-bet-on-minimally-inv> 2014, Accessed on 2 Oct 2014.
- [276] All About Robotic Surgery. Spotlight feature – vesalius robot. Available: <http://allaboutroboticsurgery.com/spotlightfeature/vesaliusrobot.html>, 2013, Accessed on 10 Oct 2014.
- [277] Radiant Insight. Surgical robots: Market shares, strategies and forecasts, worldwide, 2015-2021. Available: <http://www.radiantinsights.com/research/surgical-robots-market>, 2015, Accessed on 1 May 2015.
- [278] Dexterite Surgical. Dex robot. Available: <http://www.dexteritesurgical.com>, 2015, Accessed on 1 May 2015.
- [279] K Cleary, A Melzer, V Watson, G Kronreif, and D Stoianovici. Interventional robotic systems: Applications and technology state-of-the-art. *Minimally Invasive Therapy*, 15(2):101–113, 2006.
- [280] O Ogorodnikova. How Safe the Human-Robot Coexistence Is? Theoretical Presentation. *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica*, 6(4):51–74, 2009.
- [281] Ivan Buzurovic, Dragutin Lj. Debeljkovic, Vladimir Mistic, and Goran Simeunovic. Stability of the Robotic System with Time Delay in Open Kinematic Chain Configuration. *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica*, 11(8):51–74, 2014.
- [282] Terez A Varkonyi, Imre J Rudas, Peter Pausits, and Tamas Haidegger. Survey on the control of time delay teleoperation systems. In *18th IEEE Intl. Conf. on Intelligent Engineering Systems (INES)*, pages 89–94, 2014.
- [283] G. Kronreif, W. Ptacek, M. Kornfeld and M. Fürst, Evaluation of robotic assistance in neurosurgical applications, *Journal of Robotic Surgery* 6(1):33-39, Springer, 2012.
- [284] iSYS Medizintechnik GmbH, Interventional Systems driven by Innovation, Available: <http://isys.co.at/2015>, Accessed on 18 May 2015.